


UP - Ready Ref. Election 1970

CITY and COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

To be voted on at the
DIRECT PRIMARY ELECTION
TUESDAY, JUNE 2, 1970



DOCUMENTS

MAY 19 1970

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Published under provisions of Sections 176 and 183
of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Emmery Mihaly
Registrar of Voters.

IMPORTANT NOTICE

In order to avoid congestion and possible delay
at the polls on election day voters are urged to:

1. KEEP THE POLLING PLACE CARD ENCLOSED
HEREWITH. MARK YOUR CHOICES FOR THE VAR-
IOUS OFFICES AND PROPOSITIONS. TAKE THE
CARD WITH YOU TO THE POLLS AND YOU CAN
COMPLETE YOUR VOTING IN LESS THAN TWO
MINUTES.

2. Vote early, if possible.


Emmery Mihaly,
Registrar of Voters.

Permanent registration is maintained by VOTING.

UP - Ready Ref. Election 1970

CITY and COUNTY PROPOSITIONS

To be voted on at the
DIRECT PRIMARY ELECTION
TUESDAY, JUNE 2, 1970



DOCUMENTS

MAY 19 1970

SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY

Published under provisions of Sections 176 and 183
of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco.

Emmery Mihaly
Registrar of Voters.

IMPORTANT NOTICE

In order to avoid congestion and possible delay
at the polls on election day voters are urged to:

1. KEEP THE POLLING PLACE CARD ENCLOSED
HEREWITH. MARK YOUR CHOICES FOR THE VAR-
IOUS OFFICES AND PROPOSITIONS. TAKE THE
CARD WITH YOU TO THE POLLS AND YOU CAN
COMPLETE YOUR VOTING IN LESS THAN TWO
MINUTES.

2. Vote early, if possible.

Emmery Mihaly,
Registrar of Voters.

Permanent registration is maintained by VOTING.

INDEX OF PROPOSITIONS

PROPOSITION		Page
A	Public School Building Bonds, 1970, \$45,000,000	6
B	Street Lighting Improvement Bonds, 1970, \$5,000,000	11
C	Fire Protection Systems Improvement Bonds, 1970, \$5,767,000	14
D	Charter amendment relating to creation of an Airports Commission	16
E	Charter amendment relating to administration and working conditions applicable to members of Fire Department	26
F	Charter amendment relating to bonded debt limit of City and County	34
G	Charter amendment relating to method of fixing salaries of disability transferees	36
H	Declaration of Policy: in relation to busing or re- assigning elementary school children	38
I	Charter amendment in relation to Police Depart- ment organization and operation	39

(PROPOSITIONS A, B & C)

ORDINANCE CALLING SPECIAL BOND ELECTIONS

FILE NO. 628-69-2

ORDINANCE NO. 81-70

CALLING AND PROVIDING FOR A SPECIAL ELECTION TO BE HELD IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO ON TUESDAY, JUNE 2, 1970, FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUBMITTING TO THE VOTERS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO PROPOSITIONS TO INCUR BONDED DEBTS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY FOR THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION OR COMPLETION BY THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OF THE FOLLOWING MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENTS, TO WIT: \$45,000,000 FOR IMPROVEMENT OF THE PUBLIC SCHOOLS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; \$5,000,000 FOR IMPROVEMENT OF STREET LIGHTING IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; \$5,767,000 FOR ADDITIONS TO AND IMPROVEMENT OF THE FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; AND THAT THE ESTIMATED COST TO THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAID MUNICIPAL IMPROVEMENTS IS AND WILL BE TOO GREAT TO BE PAID OUT OF THE ORDINARY ANNUAL INCOME AND REVENUE OF THE CITY AND COUNTY AND WILL REQUIRE EXPENDITURES GREATER THAN THE AMOUNTS ALLOWED THEREFOR BY THE ANNUAL TAX LEVY; ALL IN ORDER TO DO AND PERFORM ANY AND ALL OF THE MATTERS HEREINABOVE REFERRED TO; FIXING RATE OF INTEREST OF SAID BONDS AND PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF TAXES TO PAY BOTH PRINCIPAL AND INTEREST THEREOF; PRESCRIBING NOTICE TO BE GIVEN OF SUCH ELECTION AND CONSOLIDATING THE SPECIAL ELECTION WITH THE DIRECT PRIMARY ELECTION.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. A special election is hereby called and ordered to be held in the City and County of San Francisco on Tuesday, the 2nd day of June, 1970, for the purpose of submitting to the electors of said city and county propositions to incur bonded indebtedness of the City and County of San Francisco for the acquisition, construction or completion by the city and county of the hereinafter described municipal improvements in the amounts and for the purposes stated:

(A) PUBLIC SCHOOL BUILDING BONDS, 1970

\$45,000,000 to pay the cost of improvement of the public schools of the City and County of San Francisco, including the building or purchasing of school buildings or portable school buildings, the making of alterations or additions to the school building or buildings other than such as may be necessary for current maintenance, operation or repairs, the demolition or razing of any school building with the intent to replace it with another school building, whether in the same location or in any other location, together with reconstruction and improvement of the school buildings to meet such standards of structural safety as are established in accordance with law, and all

other works, properties or structures necessary or convenient for such municipal improvement.

(B) STREET LIGHTING IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1970

\$5,000,000 to pay the cost of improvement of street lighting in the City and County of San Francisco, including all works, property, appurtenances and structures necessary or convenient for the improvement of street lighting in the City and County of San Francisco.

(C) FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1970

\$5,767,000 to pay the cost of additions to and improvement of the fire protection systems in the City and County of San Francisco, including equipment and all works, property, appurtenances and structures necessary or convenient for additions to and improvement of the fire protection systems in the City and County of San Francisco.

Section 2. The estimated costs of the municipal improvements described in Section 1 hereof were fixed by the Board of Supervisors by the following resolutions and in the amounts specified:

Public School Building Bonds, Resolution No. 66-70, \$45,000,000;

Street Lighting Improvement Bonds, Resolution No. 73-70, \$5,000,000;

Fire Protection Systems Improvement Bonds, Resolution No. 87-70, \$5,767,000.

That each of said resolutions was passed by two-thirds or more of the Board of Supervisors and approved by the Mayor, and in each of said resolutions it was recited and found that the sums of money specified were too great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the city and county in addition to the other annual expenses thereof or other funds derived from taxes levied for those purposes and will require expenditures greater than the amounts allowed therefor by the annual tax levy.

The method and manner of payment of the estimated costs of the municipal improvements described herein are by the issuance of bonds of the City and County of San Francisco in the principal amounts specified.

Said estimates of cost as set forth in said resolutions, and each thereof, are hereby adopted and determined to be the estimated costs of said improvements, and each thereof.

Section 3. The special election hereby called and ordered to be held shall be held and conducted and the votes thereat received and canvassed, and the returns thereof made and the results thereof ascertained, determined and declared as herein provided and in all particulars not herein recited said election shall be held according to the laws of the State of California providing for and governing elections in the City and County of San Francisco, and the polls for such election shall be and remain open during the time required by said laws.

Section 4. The said special election hereby called shall be and hereby is consolidated with the Direct Primary Election to be held Tuesday, June 2, 1970, and the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for said Direct Primary Election be and the same are hereby adopted, established, designated and named, respectively,

as the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election for such special election hereby called, and as specifically set forth, in the official publication, by the Registrar of Voters of precincts, polling places and election officers for the said Direct Primary Election.

The ballots to be used at said special election shall be the ballots to be used at said Direct Primary Election and reference is hereby made to the notice of election setting forth the voting precincts, polling places and officers of election by the Registrar of Voters for the Direct Primary Election to be published in the San Francisco Examiner on or about May 19, 1970.

Section 5. On the ballots to be used at such special election and on the voting machines used at said special election, in addition to any other matter required by law to be printed thereon, shall appear thereon the following, each to be separately stated, and appear upon the ballots as separate propositions:

(A) "PUBLIC SCHOOL BUILDING BONDS, 1970.

To incur a bonded indebtedness of \$45,000,000 for the improvement of the public schools in the City and County of San Francisco, including additions to and reconstruction, replacement, alteration and improvement of existing school buildings."

(B) "STREET LIGHTING IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1970.

To incur a bonded indebtedness of \$5,000,000 for improvement of street lighting in the City and County of San Francisco."

(C) "FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1970.

To incur a bonded indebtedness of \$5,767,000 for additions to and improvements of the fire protection systems in the City and County of San Francisco."

To vote for any proposition where ballots are used, and to incur the bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purposes stated herein, stamp a cross (x) in the black space to the right of the word "Yes." To vote against any proposition and thereby refuse to authorize the incurring of a bonded indebtedness to the amount of and for the purposes stated herein, stamp a cross (x) in the blank space to the right of the word "No."

Where voting machines are used at said special election said voting machines shall be so arranged that any qualified elector may vote for any proposition by pulling down a lever over the word "Yes" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, and said act shall constitute a vote for the proposition, and by pulling down a lever over the word "No" under or near a statement of the proposed proposition appearing on cardboard, paper or other material placed on the front of the machine, shall constitute a vote against the proposition. Said voting machines and the preparation of the same shall comply in all respects with the provisions of law.

Section 6. If at such special election it shall appear that two-thirds of all the voters voting on any proposition voted in favor of and authorized the incurring of a bonded indebtedness for the purposes set forth in said proposition, then such proposition shall have been accepted by the electors, and bonds shall be issued to defray the cost of the municipal improvements described herein. Such bonds

shall be of the form and character known as "serials," and shall bear interest at a rate not to exceed 7 per centum per annum, payable semiannually.

The votes cast for and against each of said respective propositions shall be counted separately and when two-thirds of the qualified electors, voting on any one of such propositions, vote in favor thereof, such proposition shall be deemed adopted.

Section 7. For the purpose of paying the principal and interest on said bonds, the Board of Supervisors shall, at the time of fixing the general tax levy and in the manner for such general tax levy provided, levy and collect annually each year until such bonds are paid, or until there is a sum in the Treasury of said city and county set apart for that purpose to meet all sums coming due for the principal and interest on said bonds, a tax sufficient to pay the annual interest on such bonds as the same becomes due and also such part of the principal thereof as shall become due before the proceeds of a tax levied at the time for making the next general tax levy can be made available for the payment of such principal.

Section 8. This ordinance shall be published once a day for at least seven (7) days in the San Francisco Examiner, a newspaper published daily in the City and County of San Francisco, being the official newspaper of said city and county and such publication shall constitute notice of said election and no other notice of the election hereby called need be given.

Approved as to form:

THOMAS M. O'CONNOR, City Attorney

Passed for Second Reading—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, March 16, 1970.

Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Boas, Feinstein, Francois, Mailliard, Mendelsohn, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

Read Second Time and Finally Passed—Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, March 23, 1970.

Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Boas, Ertola, Feinstein, Francois, Mailliard, Mendelsohn, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing ordinance was finally passed by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

Approved: March 26, 1970.

JOSEPH L. ALIOTO, Mayor

PROPOSITION A

PUBLIC SCHOOL BUILDING BONDS, 1970. To incur a bonded indebtedness of \$45,000,000 for the improvement of the public schools in the City and County of San Francisco, including additions to and reconstruction, replacement, alteration and improvement of existing school buildings.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "A"

Vote "Yes": Update our Schools

School buildings on 61 sites fail to meet minimum safety stand-

ards established to protect children in the event of a severe earthquake. While structural investigations indicate that schools are safe for day to day use, there is the ever present danger of a severe earthquake. State law requires that these sub-standard school buildings be made to meet earthquake building standards by 1975 or be abandoned.

Aside from the ever present earthquake danger, schools built 40, 50 and 60 years ago are also educationally obsolete. School programs of the '70's are geared toward the teaching of fundamentals in small groups so that children can learn at their own pace and receive individual instruction. This requires imaginative and flexible use of floor space.

Sound Investment

Sub-standard school buildings are chronic wasters of tax dollars because outdated wiring, plumbing, and fixtures create excessive maintenance costs.

The 1969 Corlett architectural report prepared for the San Francisco Unified School District shows that outmoded school buildings can be revitalized economically. That such updating in those cases would be a sound investment is indicated in engineering surveys made last fall. Updating is far less costly than replacing old structures through a massive new building program.

Capital Improvements Are Financed by Sales Tax Revenues

The City Capital Improvement Advisory Committee recommends that, based on sales tax estimates, the School District include \$45,000,000 in the City Capital Improvement Program.

Earthquake-proofing and updating can be accomplished in three stages. Passage of Proposition "A" will begin Phase I of this program as follows:

Basic updating of approximately one-third of the buildings to comply with Field Act specifications, including inflation, legal, architectural and engineering work covering other phases of the program, and cost of transportable classrooms as required.....\$40,000,000

To meet the City's commitment to the redevelopment of the Hunters Point area; this includes construction of two new buildings and an addition to a third..... 5,000,000

\$45,000,000

The Hunters Point schools will be credited toward the City's cash commitment to the Hunters Point redevelopment project.

Citywide Benefits

Passage of Proposition "A" will result in citywide benefits since many of the schools of the city will be updated.

Reduction of school maintenance costs will save tax dollars.

Updating will provide better school environment and make innovative programming possible.

Decent, safe schools are essential to retain families in the city.

Homeowners, renters and business will benefit through better schools.

This program will provide work for thousands of San Franciscans.

A "Yes" vote on Proposition "A" will preserve and promote human and property values which enhance neighborhood living.

Urgent Need

If San Francisco is to remain a desirable place to live, the exodus of families to the suburbs must be stopped. Good schools are an important factor. Updating these old structures is a needed, urgent investment in San Francisco's future.

Vote "Yes" on "A"

Endorsements for Proposition "A"

John H. Dills, Chairman, Citizens Committee to Update San Francisco Schools
Parent Teachers Association, Second District
San Francisco Classroom Teachers Association
San Francisco Federation of Teachers
San Francisco Education Auxiliary
The Teachers Association of San Francisco
San Francisco Association of School Administrators
San Francisco Elementary Administrators Association
San Francisco Association of Secondary School Administrators
League of Women Voters of San Francisco
San Francisco Labor Council
Downtown Association of San Francisco
City Planning Commission
San Francisco Council of Churches
Council for Civic Unity
Bay Area Urban League
Oceanview-Merced Heights-Ingleside Association
California Society of Professional Engineers
Communication Workers of America-AFL CIO
ILWU Jt. Legislative Committee
Willie L. Brown, Jr., Assemblyman
John L. Burton, Assemblyman
John Francis Foran, Assemblyman
Leo T. McCarthy, Assemblyman
Chief William F. Murray, San Francisco Fire Department
Fred Y. Abe
Joseph Armin
Rev. Hamilton C. Boswell
James Browne
George T. Choppelas
George Christopher
Reynold Colvin
Rev. Braxton L. Combs
Mortimer Fleishhacker
Mrs. Stanley Friedman
Eugene Friend
Howard Imazeki
Agar Jaicks, Chairman,
San Francisco County
Democratic Central
Committee
Dave Jenkins
George W. Johns
William Kilpatrick
Jack Mailliard
E. Cahill Maloney
Alan Maremont
Archbishop Joseph T. McGucken
Bishop C. Kilmer Myers
Mrs. Carl W. Stern
Joseph Tarantino
Mrs. William Thomas
Rabbi Saul White

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Apr. 20, 1970.

Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Boas, Ertola, Feinstein, Francois, Gonzales, Mailliard, Mendelsohn, Pelosi.

No: Supervisor Tamaras.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk.

ARGUMENTS AGAINST PROPOSITION "A"

Vote "NO" on Proposition "A"

Our present Non-elective Board of Education over which we have no control for their wrong-doings is not interested in protecting or caring for the needs of the decent students or teachers but rather they devote their concern for the failures who are not capable of doing anything but making a big noise.

We have plenty of outstanding black authors, but they put back

into our schools "Dutchman and the Slave" by LeRoi Jones and "Soul on Ice" by Eldridge Cleaver to teach our children hate, filth, obscenities and subversion. Those books are contrary to State Educational Code Section #13556.5, 13202, 13216 and others. On top of it they allowed a stage play "Dutchman and the Slave" in Lincoln High. I am not permitted to print the filthy language but it is O.K. for your children.

"Project Read" by M. W. Sullivan teaches robbery, holdups and other crimes with simple words and pictures for children from poverty pockets. We need to teach children to read with phonics, not crime pictures. It is not the fancy buildings and equipment we provide with our tax dollars that will raise education levels, but rather what is being taught.

We can't afford to build modern buildings to be destroyed by militants including some teachers. Do not get confused with their phoney cry: No bonds—no schools. Some of our teachers are more interested in illegal striking than teaching. We want decent and safe schools. Vote "No" for everything that costs money, especially on Proposition A-H (Busing) and State Proposition 8.

COMMITTEE FOR SAFE AND DECENT SCHOOLS
JEFFREY J. DRAPEL, Chairman.

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION "A"

A "No" Vote Will Assure Better Planned Safer Quality Schools.

The \$45,000,000 Bond Issue is only the start. The Board of Education plans two more Bond Issues of \$45,000,000 each or a total of \$135,000,000 which, with interest, could amount to \$175,000,000.

Don't vote for a "Blank Check." The Board of Education has not told us yet how they plan on spending the first \$45,000,000, or the second \$45,000,000, or the third \$45,000,000.

Only one expenditure of \$5,000,000 is clear. That is for building two schools which the voters turned down last November. There are no blue prints for these schools either.

The money may be used to repair schools made of wood, going back to 1895 and pre-World War I. This is throwing good money after bad.

Structural modifications to old schools can go as high as \$2,000,000 for one single school, and they would then still be educationally obsolete.

Thousands of little children will have to be transported from home during reconstruction.

For your \$45,000,000, all you will get are two new schools and approximately 50 obsolete buildings.

Almost \$3,250,000 will go to rebuilding the downtown school headquarters which will not benefit any child. For this money we could have a first rate new school built, or 200 modern classrooms.

Population trends indicate that there would be very few children going to some of the decrepit schools, so why rebuild them at all?

The School Budget has risen almost 50% in the last three years and the schools still want more and more money. They spent almost \$130,000,000 last year in City, State and Federal money, while school enrollment is decreasing.

The Board of Education has squandered \$6,000,000 of the \$31,400,000 Bond Issue we gave them in 1964 through procrastination

and bungling. They have yet to build and complete a High School, two Junior High Schools, and at least two Elementary Schools which the voters approved in 1964.

The Citizens, year before last, permitted the Board of Education to increase property taxes by 98 cents on the tax rate to meet rising costs. This 98 cents was supposed to last three years. At the end of a year, they have committed almost every cent. Can the Board of Education be trusted?

The School Board already owns or operates 177 buildings, properties comprising 864 acres, almost as much as Golden Gate Park, and the Board of Education is still condemning peoples' homes up to this very moment . . . you could be next!

With construction costs inflating by 12% a year, why is there no finished plan for this Bond Issue?

Why does it take the Board of Education longer to build one little Elementary School than it took to build and lease the new Bank of America Building?

The City's consultants have reported on the updating of the physical plant, and have stressed the need for a master plan for education. When working plans are ready, cost estimates made, and a master plan for education has been evolved by the Board of Education, we will reconsider our position.

In 1964 we supported school bonds . . . this time we say "No."

Don't sign a \$45,000,000 blank check. Demand a program for the 1970's. Vote "No" on "A" on Tuesday, June 2, 1970.

Sponsored by:

Marina Civic Improvement & Property Owners Assn., Inc.

and The West of Twin Peaks Central Council, composed of:

Balboa Terrace Homes Assn.

Forest Knolls Improvement Club

Lakeshore Acres Improvement Club

Lakeside Property Owners Assn.

Miraloma Park Improvement Club

St. Francis Homes Assn.

Westwood Highlands Assn.

Forest Hill Association

Ingleside Terraces Homes Assn.

Lakeside Home Owners Assn.

Merced Manor Prop. Owners Assn.

Monterey Heights Homes Assn.

Westwood Park Assn.

West Portal Home Owners Assn.

CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183 AND STATE ELECTIONS CODE SECTION 5301 PROPOSITION "A"

Public School Building Bonds, 1970. To incur a bonded indebtedness of \$45,000,000 for the improvement of the public schools in the City and County of San Francisco, including additions to and reconstruction, replacement, alteration and improvement of existing school buildings.

Should the proposed bond issue be authorized and when all bonds shall have been issued on a fifteen year basis, and after consideration of the interest rates related to current municipal bond sales and using the 1969-1970 assessment roll as the basis for calculating the effect upon the tax rate, in my opinion, it is estimated that approximate costs would be as follows:

Bond redemption	\$45,000,000
Interest requirement	23,400,000
Total Debt Service requirement	\$68,400,000

Based on a 5 year construction program, the estimated average amount required to pay the interest thereon and the redemption

thereof, would be approximately \$3,600,000 annually for nineteen years, which amount is equivalent to fifteen and ninety-nine hundredths (15.99) cents in the tax rate.

The following statement is submitted pursuant to the provisions of the State of California Election Code, Section 5301.

Based on consideration of interest rates related to current municipal bond sales and using the 1969-1970 assessment roll, it is estimated that the tax rate required to be levied to fund the proposed bond issue during the first fiscal year after the sale of bonds would be five and twenty-six hundredths (5.26) cents in the tax rate. Based on five sales to complete the issue, it is estimated that twenty-four and fifty-eight hundredths (24.58) cents would be the highest rate required during the nineteen year redemption period to fund the proposed bond issue, which rate is estimated to occur during the fiscal year 1975-1976.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION B

STREET LIGHTING IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1970. To incur a bonded indebtedness of \$5,000,000 for improvement of street lighting in the City and County of San Francisco.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "B"

Vote "Yes" on "B"—Better Street Lighting

Light is one of our best weapons against crime in our streets. It's a weapon that requires no violence, no repression—and surprisingly little expense.

Proposition "B" on your June 2 ballot will make this important weapon even more effective. This \$5 million bond issue will update the street lighting in more than 50 areas all over San Francisco—in the neighborhoods, in the downtown area, from the Sunset District to Potrero Hill, from Telegraph Hill to St. Francis Wood, from Junipero Serra to the Marina.

Vote for Light . . . Vote Against Crime

Light by itself will not eliminate crime, of course, but poor lighting encourages the criminal. Statistics show that the number of crimes at night is about twice as many as those during the daytime, although there are twice as many people in San Francisco during the day. The danger of assault, homicide, street robbery and rape is at least four times as great after dusk and before dawn.

Night and poor lighting encourage the criminal. Good lighting can go a long way to discourage him and make our streets safer for men, women and children. That's what Proposition "B" will do for us.

Vote for Lighting to Reduce Accidents

Better street lighting, of course, reduces accidents. A recent check shows a 60 per cent reduction in the number of accidents involving pedestrians at 19 key intersections in the City where the street lights

have been improved following the 1964 bond issue. Accident rates are lowest where the road lighting is most uniform.

That's another goal that Proposition "B" will achieve for us—fewer accidents on the streets.

Vote for Better Lighting at Lower Cost

Much of the City's street lighting is more than a quarter-century old, and badly deteriorated. In that quarter century, streets have become wider, traffic much heavier—and crime much more serious.

Specifically, Proposition "B" deals with underground-wired systems, to improve their efficiency and reliability—and reduce their cost of operation. It will increase the number of street lights by about 10 per cent, but it will increase their effectiveness even more. The modern street lights will operate at a much lower annual cost than the obsolete ones they replace.

Proposition "B" is a small bond issue (the smallest on your ballot). Its total cost is less than two cents on the annual tax rate.

You couldn't make a better investment of those two pennies. A well-lighted street is the most effective aid to law enforcement and public safety. Criminals hate light.

Vote "Yes" on "B" for Better Street Lighting

There is no organized opposition to Proposition "B", but there are those who will vote against anything that "costs money." These are the automatic NO voters. We must have two YES votes for every one of these automatic NO votes. Informed citizens (such as you who are taking the trouble to read this ballot pamphlet) must not only vote yes themselves—you must be sure your neighbors vote yes too.

Taxpayers' groups, business organizations, professional groups, labor, minorities and law-enforcement officers generally—all are in favor of better street lighting and Proposition "B."

Please, vote yes on Proposition "B", the small bond issue that does so much.

Please make sure your neighbor does, too.

Proposition "B" has been endorsed by the following organizations:

San Franciscans for Better Lighting and Safer Streets	
Building Owners and Managers Association of San Francisco	
Downtown Association of San Francisco	
San Francisco Building and Construction Trades Council	
Greater San Francisco Chamber of Commerce	
San Francisco Council of District Merchants Associations	
San Francisco Joint ILWU Legislative Committee	
San Francisco Labor Council, AFL/CIO	
San Francisco Women's Chamber of Commerce	
Zaccario J. Barbieri	Arthur J. Dolan, Jr.
Larry Becker	Margaret B. Douglas
A. Brooks Berlin	Hal Dunleavy
Mrs. Eva S. Bloch	Jake Ehrlich
Ben Blumenthal	Keith Eickman
Mrs. John Blumlein	Philip S. Ehrlich
Alan K. Browne	Burnham Enersen
Hon. John L. Burton	Hon. John A. Ertola
William H. Chester	Louis Ets-Hokin
William K. Coblentz	Lee Ettelson
Wilfred Cohen	Don Fazackerley
Norman Coliver	Hon. Dianne Feinstein
Hilary H. Crawford	Hon. John F. Foran
Albert D. DeLuca	Louis Garcia
L. W. Dinkelspiel	Lloyd E. Graybiel
Robert A. Dobbins	Louis Heilbron

Mendel Herscowitz
 John H. Jacobs
 Mrs. Tevis Jacobs
 Art Kaufmann
 William Kilpatrick
 Ben K. Lerer
 Earl Louie
 Robert A. Lurie
 James Mailliard
 Mrs. J. W. Mailliard, Jr.
 Hon. Milton Marks

Joseph Martin, Jr.
 Hon. Leo T. McCarthy
 Hon. Robert H. Mendelsohn
 John M. Menzies
 William F. Morton
 Hon. George R. Moscone
 Lloyd A. Pfeuger
 S. M. Saroyan
 N. Theodore Sauer, Jr.
 Leo Simon
 B. John Bugatto

**CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO
 CHARTER SECTION 183 AND
 STATE ELECTIONS CODE SECTION 5301
 PROPOSITION "B"**

Street Lighting Improvement Bonds, 1970. To incur a bonded indebtedness of \$5,000,000 for improvement of street lighting in the City and County of San Francisco.

Should the proposed bond issue be authorized and when all bonds shall have been issued on a fifteen year basis, and after consideration of the interest rates related to current municipal bond sales and using the 1969-1970 assessment roll as the basis for calculating the effect upon the tax rate, in my opinion, it is estimated that approximate costs would be as follows:

Bond redemption	\$5,000,000
Interest requirement	2,600,000
Total Debt Service requirement	<u>\$7,600,000</u>

Based on a four year construction program, the estimated average amount required to pay the interest thereon and the redemption thereof would be approximately \$422,222 for eighteen years which amount is equivalent to one and eighty-seven hundredths (1.87) cents in the tax rate.

The Department of Public Works estimates that upon completion of the construction program, the annual cost of operation and maintenance will be reduced by approximately \$30,209 per year which is equivalent to thirteen hundredths (.13) cents in the tax rate. This will reduce the effect on the tax rate beginning the fifth year to one and seventy-four hundredths (1.74) cents.

The following statement is submitted pursuant to the provisions of the State of California Election Code, Section 5301.

Based on consideration of interest rates related to current municipal bond sales and using the 1969-1970 assessment roll, it is estimated that the tax rate required to be levied to fund the proposed bond issue during the first fiscal year after the sale of bonds would be forty-eight hundredths (.48) cents. Based on four sales to complete the issue, it is estimated that two and eighty-one hundredths (2.81) cents would be the highest rate required during the eighteen year redemption period to fund the proposed bond issue, which rate is estimated to occur during the fiscal year 1974-1975.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
 City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION C

FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1970. To incur a bonded indebtedness of \$5,767,000 for additions to and improvements of the fire protection systems in the City and County of San Francisco.

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "C"

Vote "Yes" on Proposition "C" Keep Fire Insurance Rates Down

After the earthquake and fire of 1906, San Francisco property owners could obtain fire insurance only at exorbitant cost. Today, after considerable effort and investment to strengthen our fire defenses and to build an outstanding "high pressure" water system, San Francisco enjoys an enviable "Class 2" fire insurance rating. This rating is established by the American Insurance Association (AIA), and a "Class 2" rating is awarded to a city with less than 1,000 deficiency points on the AIA's rating scale.

However, at the time of the last AIA survey, San Francisco's rating had deteriorated to 985 deficiency points, a mere fifteen points away from slipping into "Class 3." The fifteen-point margin of safety is entirely too narrow, and the penalty for dropping out of "Class 2" would be an immediate increase of approximately one million dollars a year in insurance rates. By providing vital improvements to the "high pressure" system, by providing AIA recommended improvements to the domestic water system, and by providing a new fireboat and other new fire-fighting equipment, Proposition "C" will establish San Francisco securely as a "Class 2" city. Proposition "C" is a sound investment to keep fire insurance rates down.

Vote "Yes" on Proposition "C" Protect your Life and Property

In the past a fire engine could be expected to give reliable service for twenty years. In the last ten years, however, the number of fire alarms has more than doubled, and much of our equipment has deteriorated from wear before reaching its twentieth birthday.

Your life or your home may be lost if a fire engine breaks down on the way to the fire, or if a pump fails to deliver enough water. Lives and property depend upon reliable fire-fighting equipment.

Proposition "C" will reduce the retirement age for first-line fire-fighting equipment from twenty to fifteen years. Proposition "C" will insure the fire protection you need.

Vote "Yes" on Proposition "C" Reduce City Operating Costs

Proposition "C" provides for the conversion of two Fire Department pumping stations from steam to diesel operation. Reduced payroll, fuel and maintenance costs will return the original investment within seven years, after which San Francisco taxpayers will benefit from a saving of \$162,000 each year.

Vote "Yes" on Proposition "C"
"C" Is for Everyone

Proposition "C" will eliminate water-supply deficiencies throughout the City. Proposition "C" will put quality fire-fighting equipment into every neighborhood. Proposition "C" will protect you.

List of Individuals and Organizations Endorsing Proposition "C"

Mayor Joseph L. Alioto
 Frank N. Alioto, President, Fire Commission
 Rudy Tham, Vice President, Fire Commission
 Morris Bernstein, Member, Fire Commission
 Chief William F. Murray, San Francisco Fire Department
 Greater San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
 San Francisco Labor Council
 Rev. Hamilton T. Boswell, Chairman, Committee for Christian Social Concerns
 Senator Milton Marks
 Downtown Association of San Francisco
 California Society of Professional Engineers, Golden Gate Chapter
 Teamsters' Joint Council #7
 Civil Service Association of San Francisco
 San Francisco Fire Chiefs' Association
 Miss Marguerite A. Warren
 San Francisco Joint ILWU Legislative Committee
 Plumbing, Heating & Cooling Contractors of San Francisco, Inc.
 Glen Park Property Owners, Inc.
 San Francisco Electrical Industry Trust
 Mrs. John T. Squire
 William Kilpatrick, Secretary-Treasurer, Cooks' Union, Local 44
 Harry J. Aleo, Past President, San Francisco Council of District Merchants
 George W. Johns

**CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO
 CHARTER SECTION 183 AND
 STATE ELECTIONS CODE SECTION 5301
 PROPOSITION "C"**

Fire Protection Systems Improvement Bonds, 1970. To incur a bonded indebtedness of \$5,767,000 for additions to and improvements of the fire protection systems in the City and County of San Francisco.

Should the proposed bond issue be authorized and when all bonds shall have been issued on a fifteen year basis, and after consideration of the interest rates related to current municipal bond sales and using the 1969-1970 assessment roll as the basis for calculating the effect upon the tax rate, in my opinion, it is estimated that approximate costs would be as follows:

Bond redemption	\$5,767,000
Interest requirements	2,998,840
Total Debt Service requirement	<u>\$8,765,840</u>

	<u>Estimated Annual Cost</u>	<u>Effect on Tax rate</u>
Based on 4 year construction program, average annual debt service requirement for eighteen years	<u>\$486,991</u>	<u>2.16 cents</u>
Estimated average first year costs for bond interest and redemption	\$486,991	2.16 cents

Operation and maintenance costs
of Fire Boat which is expected
to be in service the first year
after the first sale of bonds 423,087 1.88 cents

Estimated costs for 2nd, 3rd
and 4th years \$910,078 4.04 cents

**OTHER OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
COSTS:**

Based on report from Department of
Public Works, upon completion of
the construction program, there will
be a reduction in operation and
maintenance costs of the High and
Low Pressure systems (\$170,000) (.76) cents

Estimated average annual cost for
5th and successive years \$740,078 3.28 cents

The following statement is submitted pursuant to the provisions
of the State of California Election Code, Section 5301.

Based on consideration of interest rate related to current mu-
nicipal bond sales and using the 1969-1970 assessment roll as the basis
for calculating the effect upon the tax rate, it is estimated that the
tax rate required to be levied to fund the proposed bond issue during
the first fiscal year after the sale of bonds would be one and nine
hundredths (1.09) cents. Based on four sales to complete the issue,
it is estimated that three and twenty-three hundredths (3.23) cents
would be the highest rate required during the eighteen year redemp-
tion period to fund the proposed bond issue, which rate is estimated
to occur during the fiscal year 1974-1975.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION D

**Amends Sections 93, 121, 122 and 125; adds Sections
137, 137.1 through 137.8 inclusive, 138, 138.1, 139,
139.1 and 139.2; relating to the creation of an Air-
ports Commission.**

**CHARTER AMENDMENT
PROPOSITION D**

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors
of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the charter of
said city and county by amending and adding certain sections there-
of, all relating to creation of an airports commission as successor to
the public utilities commission in the management and control of
airports and air transportation facilities owned or operated by the
city and county, such charter amendment to be effective on the first

day of the second month immediately following filing with the secretary of state of the legislative resolution and approval thereof.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on June 2, 1970, a proposal to amend the charter of said city and county by amending sections 93, 121, 122, and 125 thereof, and adding sections 137, 137.1, 137.2, 137.3, 137.4, 137.5, 137.6, 137.7, 138, 138.1, 139, 139.1, and 139.2 thereto, reading as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by **bold-face** type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Lease of City Property

Section 93. When the head of any department in charge of real property shall report to the board of supervisors that certain land is not required for the purposes of the department, the board of supervisors, by ordinance, may authorize the lease of such property. The director of property shall arrange for such lease for ((a period not to exceed twenty years)) **such period as prescribed pursuant to section 93.1 of this charter**, to the highest responsible bidder at the highest monthly rent. The director of property shall collect rents due under such lease.

The public utilities commission shall have exclusive power to lease agricultural or other lands used and useful for water department purposes and at the same time available for leasing or rental for agricultural or other purposes and such leases shall be subject to administration by the operating forces of the water department.

((The public utilities commission shall have exclusive power to lease lands now devoted to airport purposes or lands that may hereafter be acquired and devoted to airport purposes for a period not to exceed forty years, and the director of property shall arrange for such lease to the highest responsible bidder at the highest monthly or annual rent, subject to approval of the public utilities commission, and thereafter the administration of any and all such leases shall be by the public utilities commission. Section 123 of this charter shall not be applicable to leases referred to in this paragraph provided, however, that no lease of airport lands or agreement which divests the city and county of the right to manage, operate or control the aircraft landing field, the entire part of the airport not devoted to the aircraft landing field, or the entire airport shall be made without the approval of the board of supervisors by ordinance and referral and submission to a vote of the electors of the city and county at the election next ensuing not less than sixty days after the adoption of such ordinance, and such ordinance shall not go into effect until ratified by a majority of voters voting thereon.))

General Powers and Duties of Public Utilities Commission

Section 121. The public utilities commission shall have charge of the construction, management, supervision, maintenance, extension, operation and control of all public utilities and other properties used, owned, acquired, leased or constructed by the city and county ((, including)) **excepting** airports, for the purpose of supplying any public utility service to the city and county and its inhabitants, to territory outside the limits of the city and county, and to the inhabitants thereof.

The commission shall locate and determine the character and type of all construction and additions, betterments and extensions to utilities under its control, and shall determine the policy for such construction or the making of such additions, betterments and extension from the public funds under its jurisdiction; provided that in each such case it shall secure the recommendation of the manager of utilities which shall be presented in writing and shall include analyses of cost, service and estimated revenues of all proposed or feasible alternatives in cases where it is deemed by the manager that such alternatives exist.

The commission shall also have power to enter into contract for the furnishing of heat, light and power for municipal purposes, and to supervise the performance and check the monthly bills under such contract.

The commission shall have full power and authority to enter into such arrangements and agreements as it shall deem proper for the joint use with any other person, firm or corporation owning or having jurisdiction over poles, conduits, towers, stations, aqueducts, reservoirs and tracks for the operation of any of the utilities under its jurisdiction. It may make such arrangements as it shall deem proper for the exchange of transfer privileges with any privately owned transportation company or system which shall tend toward the betterment of transportation service.

The commission shall observe all city and county ordinances and the regulations of the department of public works relative to utility openings, structures and poles in streets and other public places, as well as all ordinances and regulations relative to barricades, construction lights, refilling excavations and replacing and maintaining street pavements; and in connection with all such matters the said commission shall be subject to the same inspection rules and pay fees to the proper department in the same manner and at the same rates as any private person or corporation.

The commission shall have charge of all valuation work relative or incidental to purchase proceedings initiated by the city and county for the acquisition of any public utility.

Foreign trade zones, as may be authorized by acts of Congress to be located in the city and county, are hereby declared to be public utilities within the meaning of this charter. A bonded indebtedness for the construction, completion or acquisition of foreign trade zones and the acquisition of necessary lands, buildings and equipment authorized by the electors in accordance with the provisions of this charter shall be exclusive of the bonded indebtedness of the city and county limited by this charter.

Utility Departments and Bureaus

Section 122. The San Francisco municipal railway, the San Francisco water department, the Hetch Hetchy project until the completion thereof when it shall be merged with the water department, or until any time prior to completion that the public utilities commission, shall, with the approval of the board of supervisors by a two-thirds vote, declare the project merged with the water department ((, the airport)) and any other public utility hereafter acquired exclusive of airports or air transportation facilities, shall each be designated as a department under the public utilities commission, and, in addition, the public utilities commission may create a bureau

of engineering and such other bureaus as it may deem necessary for the handling of matters that do not pertain exclusively to any one ((utility or)) department. The salaries and general expenses of the commission or bureaus thereof not chargeable to a specific ((utility)) department shall be apportioned fairly among the ((utilities)) departments under the control of the public utilities commission in such manner as the commission may deem appropriate, and such apportionment shall be shown as expenses of such ((utilities)) department. The Hetch Hetchy project shall not be deemed completed until a specific finding of completion thereof has been made by the public utilities commission and approved by the board of supervisors by a two-thirds vote.

Employments

Section 125. All employees engaged in public utility work at the time this charter shall go into effect, and who have been permanently appointed to their respective positions in conformity with the civil service provisions of this charter, shall **except as otherwise provided by this charter** become employees of the public utilities commission under the classification held by each such employee at such time. All persons employed in the operating service of any public utility hereafter acquired by the city and county, at the time the same is taken over by the city and county, and who shall have been so employed for at least one year prior to the date of such acquisition, shall be continued in their respective positions and shall be deemed appointed to such positions, under, and entitled to all the benefits of, the civil service provisions of this charter ((; provided, however, that no person who is not a citizen of the United States shall be so continued in or appointed to his position. All persons residing outside the city and county claiming the benefit of this provision and who are not engaged on such utility work outside of the limits of the city and county shall be allowed a reasonable time, not exceeding one year, to become residents of the city and county)).

Persons employed as platform men or bus operators in the operating department of the municipal railway system shall be subject to the following conditions of employment: The basic hours of labor shall be eight hours, to be completed within ten consecutive hours; there shall be one day of rest in each week of seven days; all labor performed in excess of eight hours in any one day, or six days in any one week, shall be paid for at the rate of time and one-half.

For the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1957, the basic hours of labor shall be eight hours to be completed within ten consecutive hours, provided however, in alternate weeks, there shall be two days of rest, consecutive where practicable, and all labor performed in excess of eight hours in any one day, or after a spread of ten consecutive hours in any one day, or five days in any one of such alternate weeks, shall be paid for at the rate of time and one-half.

For the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1958, and thereafter, the basic hours of labor shall be eight hours, to be completed within ten consecutive hours, and there shall be two days off, consecutive where practicable, in each week. All labor performed in excess of eight hours in any one day, or after a spread of ten consecutive hours in any one day, or five days in any one week, shall be paid for at the rate of time and one-half.

Conductors and motormen may be assigned to duty as bus oper-

ators and while assigned to such duty they shall receive the compensation fixed for such service. Such assignment shall be governed by seniority of service, subject to a qualifying test by the railroad management as to competency and to state laws as to qualifications and licensing.

((The public utilities commission shall have jurisdiction over the airport now being conducted by the City and County of San Francisco, as well as over any other airport which said city and county may hereafter acquire, maintain or operate. All employees, exclusive of the manager, of the present San Francisco airport, who are actually employed at the present airport operated and maintained by the City and County of San Francisco on the effective date of this amendment and who have been continuously so employed for one year immediately preceding said date shall be continued in their respective positions as if appointed thereto after examination and certification from a list of eligibles and shall thereafter be governed by and be subject to the civil service provisions of this charter. All said employees so continued in their respective positions shall be eligible for like positions in any other airport hereafter acquired, maintained or operated by the City and County of San Francisco.))

Airports Commission

Section 137. An airports commission is hereby created, which shall consist of five members, who shall be appointed and who shall be appointed by the mayor and who shall be subject to recall and to suspension and removal in the same manner as elective officers. The term of each commissioner shall be four years, provided that the first five commissioners to be appointed by the mayor to take office upon the effective date of this charter section, shall, by lot, classify their terms so that the term of one commissioner shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of such date, respectively, and the terms of the remaining two commissioners shall expire at twelve o'clock noon on the fourth anniversary of said effective date; and on the expiration of these and successive terms of office, the mayor shall appoint commissioners for four-year terms. The compensation of each commissioner shall be One Hundred Dollars (\$100.00) per month.

General Powers and Duties of Airport Commission

Section 137.1. The airports commission shall have and succeed to all powers and duties in the management and control of San Francisco International Airport heretofore vested in the public utilities commission. The airports commission shall have possession, management, supervision, operation and control of said airport and of all other airport properties wherever situated as it may acquire or which may be placed under its control. In locating and determining the character and type of improvements and additions, betterments or extension to airport properties under its control, the commission shall in each case first secure the written recommendation of the director of airports, including analysis of cost, service and estimated revenue of all proposed alternatives determined feasible by said director. Subject to the provisions of Section 91 of this charter, the commission shall have the power to purchase, lease or otherwise acquire all such lands, property, improvements or related facilities as it may deem necessary or convenient in the exercise of the authority granted hereunder. Nothing contained herein shall authorize the commission to

construct, operate or maintain, at any location outside the boundaries of an airport, systems or facilities for the surface or sub-surface transportation of persons or property, provided, however, that the commission is authorized to expend funds for planning such facilities either inside or outside the boundaries of the airport.

Leases and Concessions on Airport Property

Section 137.2. The airports commission shall have exclusive power to negotiate and, subject to approval by the board of supervisors, shall execute leases of airport lands and space in airport buildings, without necessity for competitive bidding, to any person, firm, or corporation engaged in air transportation, or agency of government, for such purposes only; provided, that the original term of any such lease shall not exceed fifty years, nor shall any extension of such lease exceed a period of fifty years. The Commission shall also have sole power, subject to competitive bidding and award to the highest responsible bidder to lease out any concession wherein the concessionaire is to be given an exclusive right to occupy space on or in airport lands or buildings. There shall be no requirement for competitive bidding in the award by the commission of any concession in an instance where no exclusive right is given the concessionaire to occupy space on or in airport lands or buildings.

Other than as specifically provided herein, the airports commission shall have exclusive power to lease lands now devoted to airport purposes or lands that may hereafter be acquired and devoted to airport purposes for a period not to exceed fifty years, and the director of property shall arrange for such lease to the highest responsible bidder at the highest monthly or annual rent, subject to approval of the airports commission, and thereafter the administration of any and all such leases shall be by the airports commission. Section 123 of this charter shall not be applicable to leases referred to in this paragraph provided, however, that no lease of airport lands or agreement which divests the city and county of the right to manage, operate or control the aircraft landing field, the entire part of the airport not devoted to the aircraft landing field, or the entire airport shall be made without the approval of the board of supervisors by ordinance and referral and submission to a vote of the electors of the city and county at the election next ensuing not less than sixty days after the adoption of such ordinance, and such ordinance shall not go into effect until ratified by a majority of voters voting thereon.

Airport Rates and Charges

Section 137.3. Subject to the provisions of Section 130 of this charter, the airports commission shall have power to fix, change and adjust rates and charges for the furnishing of services.

Director of Airports and Creation of Divisions and Bureaus

Section 137.4. The airports commission shall appoint a director of airports, who shall hold office at the pleasure of the commission. The director of airports shall have full power and authority to administer the affairs of the commission as the chief executive officer thereof. Subject to approval of the commission, the director shall appoint or remove the heads of airport divisions under the commission's jurisdiction. The heads of airport divisions shall be exempt from the civil service provisions of this charter; provided, however, that said director and each division head so appointed shall possess

the necessary executive, administrative and technical qualifications for his respective position.

The following divisions shall be established under the airports commission: the division of business administration; the division of operations; and the division of planning and development. In addition, the commission may create a bureau of engineering and such other bureaus as it may find necessary for the handling of matters that do not pertain exclusively to any one airport division, and subject to approval of the commission, the director of airports shall appoint or remove the heads of such bureaus, exclusive of the civil service provisions of this charter. The commission shall also appoint a secretary who shall be exempt from the civil service provisions of this charter.

Powers of Director of Airports

Section 137.5. In addition to the powers and duties conferred upon him as elsewhere provided in this charter, the director of airports shall have the power and it shall be his duty: (a) to enforce all orders, rules and regulations adopted by the commission relating to the regulation, operation or control of the funds, facilities, property and equipment of said commission; (b) to supervise and manage the design, construction, maintenance and operation of all work or works authorized by the commission and to that end, subject to its control and guidance, the commission shall have the power to delegate to him such necessary powers and duties as are by this charter conferred upon said commission.

The director of airports shall also have the power to designate and assign by written permit lands, improvements, space or areas in any hangar or other building at any airport operated or controlled by the commission at the duly established rates or charges for the use thereof and subject to the applicable rules and regulations governing same. Each such permit shall be revocable by the director of airports without compensation to the permittee upon due notice to be stated therein.

Employments Affected by Creation of Airports Commission

Section 137.6. Any employee who was a permanent civil service appointee assigned to the airport department under the public utilities commission immediately prior to the effective date of this section, shall be continued without loss in civil service rights as an appointee of the airport department, provided that civil service rights as they relate to layoff in the event of lack of work or lack of funds of all permanent employees of the public utilities commission, including the airport department, immediately prior to the effective date of this section, shall be continued without loss in the same manner and to the same extent as though the airport department had not by these amendments been created a separate city function under the airports commission.

Legal Work of the Airports Commission

Section 137.7. The city attorney, as the legal adviser of the commission, may, with the approval of the commission, compromise, settle or dismiss any litigation or proceedings which may be pending for or on behalf of or against said commission relative to any matter or property under its jurisdiction. He shall detail to the commission such attorneys as the commission may deem necessary, subject to

the approval of the commission as to each such attorney or assistant so assigned. The commission shall have authority, subject to the approval of the mayor, to appoint special counsel for temporary purposes. The compensation of all such attorneys shall be paid by the commission from the Airports Revenue Fund.

Airports Revenue Fund

Section 138. Subject to the budget and fiscal provisions of this charter: (a) The entire gross revenue of the airport commission shall be set aside and deposited into a fund in the city and county treasury to be known as the "Airports Revenue Fund." All amounts paid into said fund shall be maintained by the treasurer separate and apart from all other city and county funds and shall be secured by his official bond or bonds. Said fund shall be exempt from section 129 of this charter.

(b) Separate accounts shall be kept with respect to receipts and disbursements of each airport under the jurisdiction of the commission.

Use of Airports Revenue Fund

Section 138.1. Moneys in the Airports Revenue Fund including earnings thereon shall be appropriated, transferred, expended or used for the following purposes pertaining to the financing, maintenance and operation of airports and related facilities owned, operated or controlled by the commission and only in accordance with the following priority: (1) the payment of operation and maintenance expenses for such airports or related facilities; (2) the payment of pension charges and proportionate payments to such compensation and other insurance or outside reserve funds as the commission may establish or the board of supervisors may require with respect to employees of the commission; (3) the payment of principal, interest, reserve, sinking fund, and other mandatory funds created to secure revenue bonds hereafter issued by the commission for the acquisition, construction or extension of airports or related facilities owned, operated or controlled by the commission; (4) the payment of principal and interest on general obligation bonds heretofore or hereafter issued by the city and county for airport purposes; (5) reconstruction and replacement as determined by the commission or as required by any airport revenue bond ordinance duly adopted and approved; (6) the acquisition of land, real property or interest in real property for, and the acquisition, construction, enlargement and improvement of new and existing buildings, structures, facilities, utilities, equipment, appliances and other property necessary or convenient for the development or improvement of any airports and heliports owned, controlled or operated by the commission in the promotion and accommodation of air commerce or navigation and matters incidental thereto; (7) the return and repayment into the general fund of the city and county of any sums paid by the city and county from funds raised by taxation for the payment of interest on and principal of any general obligation bonds heretofore issued by the city and county for the acquisition, construction and improvement of the San Francisco International Airport; (8) for any other lawful purpose of the commission.

Airport Revenue Bonds

Section 139. Subject to the approval, amendment or rejection of the board of supervisors in each instance, the airports commis-

sion shall have authority to issue airport revenue bonds for the purpose of acquiring, constructing, improving or developing airports or airport facilities under its jurisdiction under such terms and conditions as the commission may authorize by appropriate resolution. Such revenue bonds shall be issued in accordance with the Revenue Bond Law of 1941 as it now reads or may hereafter be amended. The provisions of Sections 54380 through 54387, inclusive, of the Government Code shall not apply to the issuance and sale of such revenue bonds. Such revenue bonds shall bear a rate of interest not to exceed that which may be fixed and prescribed by the commission subject to the approval or rejection of the Board of Supervisors without regard to the limitations contained in the Revenue Bond Law of 1941. Such bonds issued by the commission pursuant to the provisions of this section 139 shall not constitute or evidence indebtedness of the city and county but shall constitute and evidence only indebtedness of said commission payable solely out of revenues received by the commission from airports or airport facilities operated or controlled by it. Airport revenue bonds issued for such purposes pursuant to this section shall not be included in the bonded debt limit provided for in Section 104 of this charter. Nothing in this section shall prevent the city and county from issuing general obligation bonds for the purpose of acquiring, constructing, improving or developing airports or airport facilities under the commission's jurisdiction, subject to the bond issue procedure provided for in this charter.

Continuance of Rights and Obligations

Section 139.1. All rights, claims, actions, orders, obligations, proceedings and contracts relating to the airport department under the public utilities commission existing prior to the effective date of these amendments shall not be affected by the adoption thereof, and shall thereafter be under the jurisdiction of the airports commission.

Effective Date of Amendments

Section 139.2. Amendments to this charter, being amendment of Sections 93, 121, 122, and 125, and addition of Sections 137, 137.1, 137.2, 137.3, 137.4, 137.5, 137.6, 137.7, 138, 138.1, 139, 139.1, and 139.2 thereto, shall become effective on the first day of the second month immediately following filing with the secretary of state of the legislative resolution and approval thereof.

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 1, 1970.

Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Boas, Ertola, Francois, Gonzales, Mailliard, Mendelsohn, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION D

This Charter Amendment recognizes the inestimable economic value of San Francisco International Airport to the people of this City through a great variety of jobs, businesses and commercial activities.

Success of Proposition D will mean no extra cost to the taxpayers, as the Airport will continue to be self-supporting from its own income. Approval will protect the City's investment in its Airport.

A separate commission is essential to permit the Airport, one of the world's largest, to maintain its status in the fierce competition of the nation's air commerce picture. The separate commission will enable the Airport to move quickly and efficiently.

Proposition D has broad community support. It was, in fact, drafted cooperatively by groups representing all elements of the community. It deserves community-wide approval.

The present Charter made the Airport a part of the Public Utilities Commission in 1932 when it served 12,000 passengers yearly with only 8 employees. It now serves as high as 60,000 passengers a day and 15 million air travelers yearly with 350 employees. The Airport's almost incredible rate of growth has been a major factor in San Francisco's healthy economy.

Proposition D would protect a valuable investment.

The Airport has kept pace with the phenomenal growth of air commerce through hard work by the Public Utilities Commission staff 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. But the Commission has three other growing round-the-clock responsibilities—the City's Water Department, the Municipal Transit System, and the Hetch Hetchy Water and Power System—too much for one commission. The Airport will suffer unless the administrative structure is changed.

The Airport pumps more than \$1 million a day into San Francisco's economy. In addition to nearly 30,000 on-the-Airport jobs, there are many thousands of Airport-supported jobs in the City—barbers, taxi drivers, waiters, teamsters, and others in many lines of business—who feed, clothe, and educate their families because of Airport-oriented jobs.

Proposition D has the support of Mayor Joseph L. Alioto, the Public Utilities Commission, major airlines, and many community and business civic improvement agencies.

Sponsored by the Public Utilities Commission.

Joseph L. Alioto, Mayor
Airlines Serving San Francisco
Downtown Association
Greater San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, Apr. 13, 1970.

Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Boas, Ertola, Feinstein, Francois, Gonzales, Mailliard, Mendelsohn, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

VOTE NO ON "D"

By all means we need a charter revision to break down the monolithic agency of local government known as the Public Utilities Commission — but should we do it piece meal?

This proposed charter revision would carry on the myth that commissioners, appointed solely by the Mayor, would run the department.

False.

This commission in each case must first secure the written recommendation of the Director of Airports — there lies the rub.

A commission form of government, sitting as puppets, having the

strings maneuvered by a general manager is not responsive representation to the people of San Francisco.

There is no machinery in this proposal to give proprietary returns to the people who own the airport . . . you.

Who in this city is so naive as not to know who is being proposed as the new Director of Airports. Filling this position will be just another game of musical chairs under the dome of City Hall.

MARGUERITE WARREN, Taxpayer

CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183 PROPOSITION "D"

Amends Sections 93, 121, 122, and 125; adds Sections 137, 137.1 through 137.8 inclusive, 138, 138.1, 139, 139.1 and 139.2, relating to the creation of an Airports Commission.

Should the proposed charter amendment be adopted, in my opinion, the annual increase in the cost of government of the city and county would be approximately \$26,200, which, based on the 1969-1970 assessment roll is equivalent to twelve hundredths (.12) cents in the tax rate.

This is based on the premise that the positions of director of airports and the bureau heads authorized to be appointed under the proposed Section 122.1 will not be new positions but will be continued at salaries presently authorized.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION E

Amends Sections 36, 36.1, 36.1½ and 36.2, relating to administration and working conditions applicable to members of the Fire Department.

CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSITION E

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco by amending Sections 36, 36.1, 36.1½, and 36.2 thereof, relating to administration and working conditions applicable to members of the Fire Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on June 2, 1970 a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Sections 36, 36.1, 36.1½ and 36.2 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Fire Department

Section 36. The fire department shall be under the management of a fire commission, consisting of three members, who shall

be appointed by the mayor and each of whom shall receive an annual compensation of twelve hundred dollars (\$1,200). The term of each commissioner shall be four years, commencing at twelve o'clock noon on the 15th day of January in the years 1948, 1949 and 1950 respectively.

The fire commission shall appoint a chief of department, a secretary and a department physician who shall hold office at its pleasure.

The fire commissioners shall be successors in office in the city and county at the time this charter shall go into effect, and shall have all the powers and duties thereof, except as in this charter otherwise provided. The commissioners shall have power, upon recommendation of the chief of department, to send fire boats, apparatus and men outside the City and County of San Francisco for fire-fighting purposes.

Positions of officers and employees of the fire department legally authorized shall continue, and the incumbents therein legally appointed thereto shall continue as the officers and employees of the department under the conditions governing their respective appointments, and except as in this charter otherwise provided.

The several ranks in the fire department shall be: chief of department; deputy chief of department; chief, division of fire prevention and investigation; ((first assistant and second)) assistant chief ((s of department)) secretary to chief of department; battalion chief ((s)); supervisor of ((assignments)) personnel; captain ((s)); lieutenant ((s)); inspector of fire department apparatus; engineer ((s)); chief's operator ((s)); ((drivers; tillermen; truckmen;)) fire fighter; pilot ((s)) of fire boats; and marine engineer ((s)) of fire boats; and the ranks specified in Sections 38.01 and 38.1 of this charter. The compensation of these ranks shall be determined as provided in Section 36.2 of this charter.

The chief of department shall recommend and the fire commission shall provide by rule for work schedules or tours of duty for the officers and members occupying the several ranks of the fire department, and the Board of Supervisors shall by ordinance establish the basic week of service. ((provided however that all tours of duty established for officers and members assigned to the fire fighting companies, including the salvage corps, shall start at eight o'clock A.M.)) No such officer or member shall be required to work more than one hundred and twenty (120) hours in any fifteen-day period nor shall any officer or member be required to work more than twenty-four consecutive hours except in case of a conflagration requiring the services of more than one-half of the force of the department. Officers and members may exchange watches with permission of the chief of department and time worked on such exchange watches shall not be construed as time in violation of the limitation of 120 hours in any fifteen-day period nor twenty-four consecutive hours. Each such officer and each such member shall be entitled to at least one (1) day off duty during each week.

When, in the judgement of the fire commission, it is in the public interest that any such officer or member shall work on his day off and said officer or member consents to so work, he may at the direction of the chief of department work on said day off, and in addition to the regular compensation provided for said officer or member as set forth in this charter, said officer or member shall be entitled to be compensated ((at his regular rate of pay)) as provided by ordi-

nance of the board of supervisors ((for herein for said extra time served)), or he shall be allowed the equivalent time off.

In any computation in the administration of the San Francisco City and County Employees' Retirement System in which the compensation, as defined in any provisions relating to the retirement system, is a factor, compensation for overtime provided for in this section shall be excluded, and no such overtime compensation shall be deemed as compensation for any purpose relating to such retirement provisions.

On the recommendation of the chief of department, the commission may reward any officer or member of the department for heroic or meritorious conduct, the form or amount of said award to be discretionary with the fire commission, but not to exceed one month's salary in any one instance.

Officers and members of the uniformed force shall be entitled to the days declared to be holidays for employees whose compensations are fixed on a monthly basis in the schedule of compensations adopted by the board of supervisors, pursuant to the provisions of section 151 of the charter, as additional days off with pay. Officers or members required to perform service in said departments on said day shall be compensated ((on the basis of straight time as herein computed)) as provided by ordinance of the board of supervisors or shall be granted equivalent time off duty with pay in the judgment of the fire commission.

For payroll purposes, that portion of each tour of duty which falls within each calendar day shall constitute a single tour of duty.

The rate of compensation for the service performed by officers or members on a holiday or for service performed on an assigned day off, as in this charter provided, shall be calculated by dividing the annual rate of pay for each fiscal year by the number of single tours of duty as scheduled for the several ranks in the fire fighting companies in said fiscal year.

The chief of department or, in his absence, ((the deputy chief or any assistant chief of department or, in their absence any battalion)) the chief officer in charge, may during a conflagration, cause to be cut down or otherwise removed any buildings or structures for the purpose of checking the progress of such conflagration.

The absence of any officer or member of the fire department on military leave of absence, as defined by section 153 of this charter, shall be reckoned a part of his service under city and county, for the purpose of computing years of service in gaining added compensation as provided in this charter.

The provisions of this section shall be effective on the first day of the month immediately following the date of ratification of this amendment by the State Legislature.

Section 36.1. (a) The tours of duty provided for in section 36 of this charter and the length thereof, and the platoon system provided for in said section for the officers and members of the uniformed ranks shall continue as now set forth in said section.

(b) Each member of the uniformed force shall be entitled to at least one (1) day off in each seven (7) and such additional days or time off as may be approved by the fire commission.

(c) When, in the judgment of the fire commission, it is in the public interest that any member of the uniformed force of said fire department should work on his day off and said member consents to

so work, he may at the direction of the ((chief engineer of the department)) **chief of department** work on said day off, and in addition to the regular compensation provided for said member as set forth in section 36 of this charter, said member shall be entitled to be compensated **therefore** ((at his regular rate of pay)) as provided for in section 36. ((for said extra time served.))

(d) Each member of the uniformed force of the fire department shall be entitled to a vacation period ((of two weeks)) as provided for in section 151 of this charter, provided, however, that if in the judgment of the fire commission it is in the public interest that any member of the said department should continue his service to the department during his vacation period, or **part thereof**, and said member consents to continue his said service, the ((chief engineer of the department)) **chief of department** may permit said member to continue such service, and said member shall in addition to his annual vacation pay, or **part thereof**, be paid additional compensation for said vacation period so served. ((,which said)) **Said** compensation shall be ((equal to the vacation pay allowed to said member of the department.)) **as provided for by section 36 of this charter.**

(e) Salary warrants for extra time served by officers and members of the uniformed force of the department shall be payable from the regular salary appropriation of said uniformed force for the prevailing fiscal year, and at no time shall extra compensation be authorized or paid in amounts exceeding the available unencumbered balance in said appropriation.

(f) Nothing in this section contained shall in anyway interfere with the sick or disability leave provided for in Section 301, Part 1, of the San Francisco Municipal Code.

(((g) This section shall be effective on the first day of July, if the same is ratified prior thereto by the legislature of the State of California, and if not so ratified shall be effective within fifteen (15) days after the date of its ratification.

(h) The provisions of this section shall continue in force for a period of six (6) months after the termination of the present war between the United States and the axis powers.))

The provisions of this section shall be effective on the first day of the month immediately following the date of ratification of this amendment by the State Legislature.

Section 36.1½. Subject to the provisions of section 20 of the charter governing the appointment and removal of non-civil service officers, assistants and employees, and without any competitive examination, the chief of department shall have the power to appoint, from among the members of the department having the rank of assistant chief of department, a deputy chief of department and from among the members of the department having the rank of battalion chief, a secretary to the chief of department.

((This section shall become effective on the first day of July 1951.))

The provisions of this section shall be effective on the first day of the month immediately following the date of ratification of this amendment by the State Legislature.

Section 36.2. Not later than the 15th day of February of each year, the civil service commission shall survey and certify to the board of supervisors rate of compensation paid ((firemen)) **fire fighters** employed in the respective fire departments in all cities of

100,000 population or over in the State of California, based upon the latest federal decennial census.

Not later than the 1st day of April of each year, the board of supervisors shall have the power, and it shall be its duty, by ordinance, to fix rates of compensation for the members of the fire department whose annual compensations are set forth or otherwise provided in sections 36 and 38.1 of this charter, and said rates shall be in lieu of said annual compensations and shall be effective on the 1st day of July next following.

The rates of compensation, fixed in said ordinance,

(a) for the fourth year of service and thereafter for ((firemen)) fire fighters shall not ((exceed)) be less than the highest rate of compensation paid ((firemen)) fire fighters in regular service in the cities included in the certified report of the civil service commission;

(b) for the first, second and third year of service for ((firemen)) fire fighters shall include the same amount of adjustment as that used in fixing the rates of compensation for the fourth year of service for the same class;

(c) for said members of the fire department other than ((firemen)) fire fighters shall include the same per cent of adjustment as that established by said ordinance for ((firemen)) fire fighters in the fourth year of service; and

(d) shall be set at the dollar amount nearest the fractional amount which may result from percentage adjustment specified in this section, half dollars being taken to the next higher dollar amount.

The rates of compensation set forth in the budget estimates, the budget and the annual salary ordinance shall be those fixed by the board of supervisors as in this section provided and appropriation therefor shall be based thereon.

Not later than the 1st Monday of August each year, the civil service commission shall survey and certify to the board of supervisors the rates of compensation paid ((firemen)) fire fighters on the first day of August of that year in the cities hereinbefore referred to. The board of supervisors shall thereupon have the power by ordinance to revise all of the rates of compensation as in this section provided. Said revised rates shall be effective from the first of July of the then current fiscal year.

If the board of supervisors revises said rates of compensation, then it shall, not later than the 25th day of August of the then current fiscal year, have the power, and it shall be its duty, subject to the fiscal provisions of the charter, but without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the annual salary ordinance and the annual appropriation ordinance to include the provisions necessary for paying the rates of compensation fixed by the board of supervisors as in this section provided for the then current fiscal year.

The expression "rates of compensation" as used in this section, in relation to said survey, is hereby declared to apply only to a basic amount of wages, with included range scales, and does not include such working benefits as might be set up by any other city by way of holidays, vacations, other permitted absences of any type whatsoever, overtime, night or split shift, or pay for specialized services within a classification or rank, or other premium pay differentials of any type whatsoever. The foregoing enumeration is not exclusive, but it is the intent of this section that nothing other than a basic

amount of wages, with included range scales, is to be included within the meaning of "rates of compensation."

Working benefits, educational incentive programs and premium pay differential of any type whatsoever ((shall be allowed or paid to)) for members of the fire department not specifically provided for in this charter ((referred to herein only as otherwise provided in this charter.)) may be provided for by ordinance of the board of supervisors.

The board of supervisors shall have the power, and it shall be its duty, without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the annual appropriation ordinance and the annual salary ordinance to include the provisions for compensation for any working benefit and educational incentive program and premium pay differential.

For all purposes of the retirement system, the expression "rates of compensation" as used in this section shall mean "salary attached to the rank" as used in section 169 and "compensation earnable" as used in section 171.1.1;

The term ((fireman)) "fire fighters" as used in this section shall mean persons employed, in the fire departments of said cities of 100,000 population or over or of the City and County of San Francisco, to perform substantially the duties being performed on the effective date of this section by ((drivers, stokers, tillermen, truckmen, or hosemen,)) fire fighters in the San Francisco Fire Department.

The expression "members of the fire department" does not include members of the fire commission.

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, March 30, 1970.

Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Boas, Ertola, Feinstein, Francois, Gonzales, Mailliard, Mendelsohn, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "E"

Vote Yes on Proposition "E"—Provide Flexible Starting Time.

Presently all officers and members assigned to the fire fighting force must begin work at 8 a.m. Thus, these men must work twenty-four consecutive hours. The fire department work load has increased three hundred per cent in the last ten years. A flexible starting time will assure that a new crew of fire fighters will be on duty during late night hours when fires present the most serious life hazard.

Vote Yes on Proposition "E"—Fire Fighters Deserve Fringe Benefits.

Other city employees enjoy fringe benefits, such as time and a half for holidays, overtime, as well as night differential pay. This amendment will enable the Board of Supervisors to grant these same fringe benefits to fire fighters.

Vote Yes on Proposition "E"—Fire Fighters Deserve Highest Pay.

This amendment will permit the fire fighters to receive compensation not less than the highest rate paid fire fighters in cities with a population of 100,000 or more in the State of California. This amendment also will authorize the Board of Supervisors to establish the basic week of service for uniformed members of the Fire Department.

**Vote Yes on Proposition "E"—
Support Your San Francisco Fire Fighters.**

Passage of this charter amendment will enable the Board of Supervisors to provide educational incentive programs and other working benefits for San Francisco Fire Fighters.

Vote Yes on Proposition "E"

Sponsored by

The City and County of San Francisco Fire Commission
Joseph L. Alioto, Mayor
Frank N. Alioto, President, Fire Commission
Rudy Tham, Vice President, Fire Commission
Morris Bernstein, Fire Commission
William F. Murray, Chief of Department

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 13, 1970.

Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Boas, Ertola, Feinstein, Francois, Gonzales, Mailliard, Mendelsohn, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION "E"

Vote "No" on Proposition "E". Another Increase in Taxpayer Costs!

Proposition "E" is a dangerous proposal which would make the highest salary reported by the civil service department the base on which the supervisors could set salaries. There would be no ceiling. Presently the charter wisely provides that the supervisors cannot exceed the highest paid elsewhere.

Proposition "E" is estimated by the controller to add \$1,277,000 a year to the costs for overtime, holiday and shift premiums provided in this measure. This increase is in addition to any salary increases.

For 1969-70 firemen and policemen received a 12% pay increase. Firemen's beginning salaries and the maximum reached after three years service are already higher than those paid in other California cities over 100,000 population.

Vote "No" on "E". It is Costly and Unnecessary.

This argument is sponsored by the following organizations of The San Francisco Municipal Conference:

Apartment House Associations,
Consolidated, Inc.
Downtown Association

Retail Drygoods Association
S.F. Real Estate Board
S.F. Jr. Chamber of Commerce

LLOYD E. GRAYBIEL, Chairman

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION "E"

Vote "No" on Proposition "E"

Another tax bite out of your dollar!

Enough is enough! The taxpayer's dollar is being nibbled away by constant increases in taxes.

Now along comes Proposition "E" which, it is estimated would cost the taxpayers of San Francisco over \$1,000,000 or an additional 5c out of your tax dollar.

Proposition "E" would give the supervisors a free hand in setting the Fire Department's overtime pay and holiday and night differentials, and wages, to not less than the highest paid fire department in the State in cities of over 100,000 population.

The 'catch' is that formerly the formula was **not more than** the highest paid fire department in the State.

Proposition "E" will put an additional burden on the already over-burdened taxpayer's dollar.

Vote "No" on Proposition "E".

Sincerely,

A Concerned Taxpayer

DELIA M. ZINK

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION "E"

Do not be misled by the argument for Proposition "E". This is not a measure desired by the majority of fire fighters. On the contrary, less than 20% of the fire fighters want the 8 a m starting time removed, and are in fact adamant about retaining the 8 a m starting time.

This was a major working condition under which the job was taken and it will be a major source of contention, should it be removed.

The San Francisco Fire Department is rated one of the finest in the Nation—as with any successful, efficient, dedicated organization excellence is partly the result of that fragile intangible—Morale!

To tamper with Morale—to tamper with one of the best, if not the finest fire fighting organizations over an issue that fire fighters strongly oppose and the retention of which cost the tax-payers Absolutely Nothing, would be foolish.

Proposition "E" is more piecemeal legislation.

Don't Vote Yourself Trouble—Vote "No" on "E".

Paid for by CITIZENS AGAINST PROPOSITION "E".

CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO CHARTER SECTION 183 PROPOSITION "E"

Amends Sections 36, 36.1, 36.1½ and 36.2, relating to administration and working conditions applicable to members of the Fire Department.

Should the proposed charter amendment be adopted, based on current budget requirements, in my opinion, the annual increase in the cost of government would be approximately \$1,277,000. Based on the 1969-1970 assessment roll, the annual increase is equivalent to five and sixty-seven hundredths (5.67) cents in the tax rate.

The above estimate of cost considers that the Board of Supervisors will provide the same rate of compensation for work performed

on overtime, holidays and shift differential as are now provided to other salaried employees.

The proposed amendment to Section 36 provides that the basic work week shall be established by ordinance, proposed amendment to Section 36.2 provides that rates of compensation shall be not less than compensation paid fire fighters in all cities of 100,000 population or over in the State of California and that working benefits and educational incentive programs may be provided by ordinance. These provisions in themselves do not create any additional costs, but as a product of their application to future legislation, additional costs of government may be incurred which are not determinable at this time.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION F

Amends Section 104, relating to bonded debt limit of the City and County of San Francisco.

CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSITION F

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 104 thereof, relating to the bonded debt limit of the City and County.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on June 2, 1970, a proposal to amend the Charter of said city and county by amending Section 104 thereof to read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by bold-face type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

Bonded Debt Limit

Section 104. No bonded indebtedness shall be incurred by the city and county which together with the amount of bonded indebtedness outstanding shall exceed twelve per cent of the assessed value of all real and personal property in the city and county subject to taxation for city and county purposes. ((provided, however, that)) Bonded indebtedness heretofore or hereafter created for water supply, storage or distribution purposes, sewers and sewerage collection, disposal and treatment, water pollution control, and the acquisition, construction or completion of air transportation facilities and bonded indebtedness created pursuant to Section 103 hereof ((and bonded indebtedness heretofore created in aid of the Panama-Pacific International Exposition)) shall be exclusive of the limitation on the amount of bonded indebtedness of the city and county contained in this section, provided, however that any bonded indebtedness for sewers and sewerage collection, disposal and treatment, and for water pollution control, must be financed by sewerage service charges for the foregoing exclusion to be applicable.

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, March 23, 1970.

Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Boas, Ertola, Feinstein, Francois, Gonzales, Mailliard, Mendelsohn, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSITION "F"

A. Need For Amendment of Section 104 of City Charter.

Section 104 of the City Charter, which dates from 1933, wisely provides that San Francisco shall not sell bonds in excess of 12 per cent of the assessed valuation of the City's real property.

However, in 1970, San Francisco finds itself severely limited in the sale of bonds for necessary municipal projects such as sewerage treatment and water pollution control facilities.

B. What Does Proposition F Do?

Proposition F amends Section 104 of the Charter to exempt from the debt ceiling bonds for airport facilities. In addition, the proposition exempts bonds for sewerage treatment and water pollution control facilities from the debt ceiling in the event that such bonds are financed by sewerage service charges. Proposition F does not change the basic Charter requirement that each and every general obligation bond issue must be submitted to the voters for their approval on the ballot.

C. Argument For Proposition F.

No purpose is served by applying the bonded debt limitation to those bonds which are self-retiring. For example, bonds for water supply, storage and distribution have always been exempt from the debt ceiling because such bonds are financed by revenues generated by the water system, rather than by sales taxes or property taxes. The growing problems of sewerage treatment and disposal and water pollution control are today of equal importance with the supply of water.

The vital need for clean water, requirements of the State and Regional Water Quality Control Boards, and pending Federal legislation in the water quality control field make it virtually certain that bonded indebtedness will have to be incurred by the City to expand existing facilities.

Both legal requirements and the public demand for an environment free of pollution necessitate that sale of bonds for sewerage facilities and water pollution control not be restricted by the present arbitrary limitation on bonded indebtedness in Section 104. Protection against the imposition of an undue property tax burden on the citizens of San Francisco is assured by the requirement that the bonds for sewerage and water pollution control facilities must be financed by service charges.

The requirements for expansion of air transportation facilities are also constant and pressing if the City is to maintain its position as a major air transportation center in the age of jumbo jets and ever-mounting passenger traffic. If the City is held back by the debt ceiling in Section 104 from promptly making necessary, authorized ex-

penditures, it will undoubtedly suffer loss of business and traffic to Los Angeles, Oakland, and other airports.

There is absolutely no need for such a ceiling on airport bonds because they are retired entirely from airport revenues, not property taxes.

Proposition F will give San Francisco the capability to handle our share of control of water pollution.

Proposition F will not increase the property tax rate.

Sponsored by:

Robert H. Mendelsohn, Supervisor

Joseph L. Alioto, Mayor

Thomas J. Mellon, Chief Administrative Officer and Chairman,
Capital Improvement Advisory Committee

Richard K. Miller, Police Commissioner

Morris Bernstein

Harry Bigarani, Secretary-Treasurer, District Council of Painters No. 8
San Francisco Building and Construction Trades Council

Greater San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

Kenneth Edwards, Vice President, S. F. Building and Construction
Trades Council

Daniel F. Del Carlo, Secretary, S. F. Building and Construction
Trades Council

Thomas Hsieh, AIA, Architect

San Francisco Labor Council

George W. Johns

Joseph P. Mazzola, Bus. Mgr. & Fin. Sec'y-Treas., United Association
of Journeymen and Apprentices of the Plumbing and Pipe Fitting
Industry, Local Union No. 38

Albert S. Samuels Jr., President Albert S. Samuels Company

Eugene L. Friend, President, Market Street Development Project, Inc.

Bernard Averbuch, Executive Director, Market Street Development
Project, Inc.

Marguerite Warren

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco,
April 13, 1970.

Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Boas, Ertola, Feinstein,
Francois, Gonzales, Mailliard, Mendelsohn, Pelosi, Tamaras, von
Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the
Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

PROPOSITION G

Adds Section 156.3, relating to method of fixing salaries of disability transferees.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

PROPOSITION G

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said city and county by adding Section 156.3 thereto, relating to the method of fixing salaries of disability transferees.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said city and county at an election to be held therein on June 2, 1970, a proposal to amend

the Charter of said city and county by adding Section 156.3 thereto, to read as follows:

Section 156.3. Notwithstanding any of the provisions of Section 156, 156.2 or any other provisions of this charter, whenever any employee is transferred under the provisions of Section 156 of this charter and has held such position for ten (10) years, the limitations imposed on his salary by Section 156 shall terminate and his salary shall thereafter be fixed in accordance with the salary standardization provisions of this charter.

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, March 30, 1970.

Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Boas, Ertola, Feinstein, Francois, Gonzales, Mailliard, Mendelsohn, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "G"

Vote Yes on Proposition "G"

Proposition G will correct a long standing inequity. Under present charter provisions, permanent employees who are unable to perform their regular duties because of job-incurred injuries or disabling illnesses may transfer to other city positions requiring less physical duties. As a consequence, the employee's pay is reduced substantially and all future earnings are based on separate pay schedules as recommended by the Civil Service Commission and approved by the Board of Supervisors. These separate pay schedules prohibit an employee from earning pay on a par with his fellow employees.

Proposition G authorizes the Board of Supervisors to correct this inequity by fixing the pay of a disabled employee in accordance with regular salary standardization procedures, but only after the employee has served at least ten (10) years in a position as a disability transferee. After ten years' service in a position as a disability transferee, the employee's pay will be based on the regular rate of pay for that position.

Proposition G is a fair, equitable proposal. It corrects a serious injustice by eliminating the current restriction on the amount of earnings for disabled employees.

Vote Yes on Proposition G

Sponsored by:

The Civil Service Association of San Francisco,
Granville M. DeMerritt, Executive Secretary
San Francisco Labor Council
George W. Johns, Secretary, San Francisco Labor Council.

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 13, 1970.

Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Boas, Ertola, Feinstein, Francois, Gonzales, Mailliard, Mendelsohn, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing resolution was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

**CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO
CHARTER SECTION 183
PROPOSITION "G"**

Adds Section 156.3 relating to method of fixing salaries of disability transferees.

Should the proposed charter amendment be adopted, based on information received from the Civil Service Commission and also based on current salaries and those who would be eligible, in my opinion, the increased cost of city government would be approximately \$5,671.50 for the first year. Based on the 1969-1970 assessment roll, the above increase is equivalent to twenty-five thousandths (.025) cents in the tax rate.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PROPOSITION H

DECLARATION OF POLICY: Shall the San Francisco Unified School District compel elementary school children (kindergarten through sixth grades) to be bused or reassigned to schools out of their immediate neighborhoods without parental consent?

**CHARTER AMENDMENT
PROPOSITION H**

Mr. Emmery Mihaly
Registrar of Voters
Room 167, City Hall
San Francisco, California 94102
Dear Sir:

The undersigned members of the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submit to the qualified electors of the said City and County, at an election to be held therein on June 2, 1970, the following Declaration of Policy upon the ballot at said election, so that the electors can express their preference for or against said Declaration, voting "Yes" or "No" thereon, to wit:

Shall the San Francisco Unified School District compel elementary school children (kindergarten through sixth grades) to be bused or reassigned to schools out of their immediate neighborhoods without parental consent?

John J. Barbagelata
Robert E. Gonzales
James Mailliard
Peter Tamaras
John A. Ertola
Dorothy von Beroldingen

**ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION "H"
Vote No On Proposition "H"**

Do not deprive parents of their basic right to determine where

their small children will attend school. A "No" vote simply means that parents should not be compelled to send their children to elementary schools outside of their immediate neighborhood. Logical and reasonable temporary busing, resulting for example from over crowded schools, has never been resisted and will of course not be affected.

Vote No On Proposition "H"

Help stop the expenditure of millions of dollars on unnecessary experimental busing. Our Board of Education is proposing a massive forced busing plan affecting approximately 9,000 San Francisco children. Even kindergarten students will be bused out of their neighborhoods in spite of their parents wishes.

Vote No On Proposition "H"

Help retain neighborhood elementary schools. Please join the vast majority of our local, state, and national leaders in opposing forced busing of elementary school children. It is essential that efforts of our educational leaders be redirected to quality education for all children—not mass transportation.

Vote No On Proposition "H"

Don't compel parents to send their children to elementary schools outside of their immediate neighborhood.

CONCERNED PARENTS ASSN
By ROBERT NELSON, President

PROPOSITION I

Amends Sections 35, 35.4, 35.5, 35.5½, 35.5.1, 35.5.2, 35.5.3, 35.5.4, 35.8, 35.8.1, and 146, relating to organization and operation of Police Department, and working conditions of the members thereof; repeals Section 36.3, relating to parity pay in Police and Fire Departments.

CHARTER AMENDMENT

PROPOSITION I

Describing and setting forth a proposal to the qualified electors of the City and County of San Francisco to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 35, 35.4, 35.5, 35.5½, 35.5.1, 35.5.2, 35.5.3, 35.5.4, 35.8, 35.8.1, and 146, and repealing Section 36.3, relating to reorganization and improved conditions of the uniformed force of the San Francisco Police Department, and relating to working conditions of the San Francisco Fire Department.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby submits to the qualified electors of said City and County at an election to be held therein on June 2, 1970, a proposal to amend the Charter of said City and County by amending Sections 35, 35.4, 35.5, 35.5½, 35.5.1, 35.5.2, 35.5.3, 35.5.4, 35.8, 35.8.1, and 146, and repealing Section 36.3 thereof, so that the same shall read as follows:

NOTE: Additions or substitutions are indicated by **bold-face** type; deletions are indicated by ((double parentheses)).

DEPARTMENTS UNDER MAYOR

Police Department

Section 35. The police department shall consist of a police commission, a chief of police, a police force and such clerks and employees as shall be necessary and appointed pursuant to the provisions of this charter, and shall be under the management of a police commission consisting of three members, who shall be appointed by the mayor, and each of whom shall receive an annual compensation as **determined by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors.** ((of twelve hundred dollars (\$1,200.))) The term of each commissioner shall be four years, commencing at twelve o'clock, noon, on the 15th day of January in the years 1945, 1946 and 1948, respectively. The incumbents serving as members of the commission on the effective date of this amendment shall continue to hold their respective positions, subject to the provisions of the charter, for the remainder of the terms for which they have been respectively appointed.

The police commissioners shall be the successors in office of the police commissioners holding office in the city and county at the time this amendment shall become effective, and shall have all the powers and duties thereof, except as in this charter otherwise provided. They shall have power to regulate traffic by means of police officers and the emergency use of temporary signs or devices.

The traffic function of the police department ((bureau)) is hereby established under the jurisdiction of the chief of police, ((. The bureau shall be in charge of a traffic director,)) who shall have powers and duties relating to street traffic, subject to laws relating thereto and to the police commission, as follows: (a) to regulate all street traffic by means of police officers and the emergency use of temporary signs or devices; (b) to promote traffic safety education and to receive and give prompt attention to complaints in relation to street traffic and to refer all complaints relating to or arising from street design or from traffic devices, or the absence thereof, to the department of public works; (c) to collect and compile traffic accident data, copies whereof shall be furnished to the department of public works; (d) to cooperate and advise for the best performance of these functions, with the department of public works, the public utilities commission, the fire department, the department of city planning, the board of supervisors and other departments and agencies of the city and county and state as may be necessary; and (e) to review all proposed plans relating to street traffic control devices which are received from the department of public works and to make such recommendations to that department as may be deemed necessary for the proper regulation of street traffic within fifteen (15) days after receipt of said plans from the department of public works, pursuant to Section 107.1 of this charter.

The powers and duties ((of the traffic director)) hereinabove stated shall not modify to any extent the powers and duties of any department or office, but shall be, first for the purpose of assisting the police commission in its regulation of traffic, and, second, for the purpose of recommendation only, to other departments or offices upon matters within their jurisdiction, but affecting to any extent the regulation of traffic.

Section 35.4. Subject to the provisions of section 20 of this charter governing the appointment and removal of non-civil service appointees, and without competitive examination, the chief of police shall have the power to appoint a police surgeon; to designate an **assistant chief, deputy chiefs, assistant deputy chiefs, commanders and directors** ((a deputy chief of police, a department secretary, and a director, bureau of special services, from any rank in the department; to designate a director of traffic from among the members of the police department holding rank of lieutenant or higher; to designate a chief of inspectors from among the members of the department holding rank of captain; to designate a director, bureau of criminal information, from among the members of the department having the rank of sergeant or higher; to designate from among the members of the department holding rank of lieutenant or higher, a captain of inspectors, who shall be administrative assistant to the chief of inspectors, a captain of traffic, and a director, bureau of personnel; and to designate a supervising captain of districts)) from among the members of the department holding the **civil service** rank of captain. ((The department secretary or other suitable member of the department shall be assigned by the chief of police to serve also as secretary to the police commission without extra compensation.)) The chief of police shall assign a property clerk, and a **secretary to the police commission** from among the members of the department. ((,))

Such ((such)) assignments shall be made at the rank and pay of the members so assigned.

When any member of the department, detailed to any of the positions above mentioned, shall be removed from said detail or position, he shall be returned to his civil service rank and position, unless removed from the department pursuant to the provisions of section 155 of the charter.

Any member of the department holding a position on the effective date of this amendment whose civil service rank is lower than that specified for the position, may be retained in such position, subject to the provisions of section 20 of the charter.

This section shall become effective on the first day of the month immediately following ratification.

Section 35.5. The several ranks in the department shall be as follows: chief of police; ((,)) **assistant chief; deputy chiefs; assistant deputy chiefs; commanders; directors;** ((deputy chief of police, director of traffic, chief of inspectors, supervising captain of districts, department secretary, captain of inspectors, captain of traffic, director of bureau of personnel, director of bureau of criminal information,)) **captains, criminologists, and police surgeon; lieutenants;** ((, director of bureau of special services,)) **sergeants; inspectors;** ((, sergeants,)) **assistant inspectors;** ((, photographer, police surgeon,)) **police officers;** ((,)) **policewomen** ((police patrol drivers and women protective officers.)) and police patrol drivers.

No member of a lesser civil service rank shall supervise a member holding a higher civil service rank.

The compensation for these ranks shall be determined as provided in other sections ((section 35.5.1 and section 35.5.2)) of this charter.

In determining years of service necessary for a police officer, **policewoman** ((woman protective officer)) and police patrol driver to receive the annual compensation as provided for herein, service ren-

dered prior to the effective date of this amendment shall be given full credit and allowed.

The absence of any police officer, **policewoman** ((woman protective officer)), or police patrol driver on military leave, as defined by section 153 of this charter, shall be reckoned a part of his service under the city and county, for the purpose of computing years of service in gaining added compensation as provided for herein.

This section shall become effective on the first day of the month immediately following ratification.

Section 35.5 $\frac{1}{2}$. (a) The word "member" or "members" as used in this section shall mean the members of the several ranks in the police department set forth in section 35.5 of this charter.

(b) The basic week of service for each member shall be not more than forty hours and the annual compensation set forth in section 35.5 of this charter shall be based upon said basic week of service.

(c) Each member shall be entitled to at least two days off during each week, except as hereinafter provided.

(d) Whenever in the judgment of the police commission public interest or necessity requires the services of any member to serve in excess of the basic week of service during any week, the said police commission may authorize the Chief of Police to permit said service, and said member shall be compensated therefor or shall receive equivalent time credited to him in lieu thereof in accordance with this sub-section. For service performed in excess of the basic week, members shall be compensated on the basis of **not less than time and one-half ((straight time)) as provided by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors** in accordance with the ratio which said excess service bears to the basic week of service and the annual compensation provided therefor in section 35.5, or in lieu thereof equivalent time off duty with pay.

(e) Nothing contained in this section shall be deemed to interfere with a vacation, as provided for in section 151 of this charter, or the normal days off per week; provided, however, that when in the judgment of the police commission public interest or necessity requires the services of any member to serve on his vacation, or part thereof, or normal days off, the said commission may authorize the chief of police to permit said member to serve during said vacation, or part thereof, or normal days off, and he shall receive additional compensation for the period so served. Said additional compensation shall be computed on the basis of **not less than time and one-half ((straight time)) as provided by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors** in accordance with the ratio which said extra service performed bears to the basic week of service and the annual compensation provided therefor in section 35.5.

(f) Nothing in this section shall abridge or limit in any way the provisions of Section 301, Part 1, of the San Francisco Municipal Code, approving Rule 32 of the civil service commission, insofar as sick leave and disability leaves are for members concerned.

(g) Whenever in the judgment of the police commission the efficient performance of police duty requires that one or more members of the police department should report for roll call, orders, and assignments, prior to going on duty, the said commission may designate a period not to exceed fifteen minutes in any one day for said reporting, and the said periods of fifteen minutes need not be compensated for in money or in time off with pay.

(h) Notwithstanding the provisions of any of the foregoing sub-sections, the members of the police department shall be entitled to the days declared to be holidays for employees whose compensations are fixed on a monthly basis in the schedules of compensations adopted by the board of supervisors pursuant to the provisions of section 151 of the charter as additional days off with pay. Members required to perform police service in said department on said days shall be compensated on the basis of **not less than time and one-half ((straight time)) as provided by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors as herein computed or shall be granted equivalent time off duty with pay in the judgment of the police commission.**

(i) ((This section shall become effective on the first day of the month immediately following the date of ratification.)) **Notwithstanding the provisions of any of the foregoing sub-sections the members of the police department shall be entitled to night differential compensation. Members required to perform any tour of duty beginning at or after 2:00 P.M. or before 6:00 A.M. of the following day shall receive premium pay compensated on the basis of not less than ten percent (10%) of the compensation due for that tour of duty.**

Section 35.5.1. Not later than the 15th day of February of each year, the civil service commission shall survey and certify to the board of supervisors rates of compensation paid police officers or patrolmen employed in the respective police departments in all cities of 100,000 population or over in the State of California, based upon the latest federal decennial census.

Not later than the 1st day of April of each year, the board of supervisors shall have power, and it shall be its duty, by ordinance, to fix rates of compensation for the members of the police department whose annual compensations are set forth in section 35.5 of this charter and said rates shall be in lieu of said annual compensations and shall be effective on the 1st day of July next following.

The rates of compensation, fixed in said ordinance,

(a) for the fourth year of service and thereafter for police officers, police patrol drivers and **policewomen** ((women protective officers)) shall **not be less than ((exceed))** the highest rate of compensation paid police officers or patrolmen in regular service in the cities included in the certified report of the civil service commission;

(b) for the first, second and third year of service for police officers, police patrol drivers and **policewomen** ((women protective officers)) shall include the same amount of adjustment as that used in fixing the rates of compensation for the fourth year of service for the same class;

(c) for said members of the police department other than police officers, police patrol drivers and **policewomen** ((women protective officers)) shall include the same percent of adjustment as that established by said ordinance for police officers in the fourth year of service; and

(d) shall be set at the dollar amount nearest the fractional amount which may result from percentage adjustment specified in this section, half dollars being taken to the next higher dollar amount.

The rates of compensation set forth in the budget estimates, the budget and the annual salary ordinance shall be those fixed by the board of supervisors as in this section provided and appropriations therefor shall be based thereon.

Not later than the 1st Monday of August of each year, the civil service commission shall survey and certify to the board of supervisors the rates of compensation paid police officers or patrolmen on the first day of August of that year in the cities hereinbefore referred to. The board of supervisors shall thereupon have the power by ordinance to revise all of the rates of compensation as in this section provided. Said revised rates shall be effective from the first day of July of the then current fiscal year.

If the board of supervisors revises said rates of compensation, then it shall, not later than the 25th day of August of the then current fiscal year, have the power, and it shall be its duty, subject to the fiscal provisions of the charter, but without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the annual salary ordinance and the annual appropriation ordinance to include the provisions necessary for paying the rates of compensation fixed by the board of supervisors as in this section provided for the then current fiscal year.

The expression "rates of compensation," as used in this section in relation to said survey, is hereby declared to apply only to a basic amount of wages, with included range scales, and does not include such working benefits as might be set up by any other city by way of holidays, vacations, other permitted absences of any type whatsoever, overtime, night or split shift, or pay for specialized services within a classification or rank, or other premium pay differentials of any type whatsoever. The foregoing enumeration is not exclusive, but it is the intent of this section that nothing other than a basic amount of wages, with included range scales, is to be included within the meaning of "rates of compensation."

Other working benefits and premium pay differentials of any type shall be allowed or paid to members of the police department by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors. ((referred to herein only as is otherwise provided in this charter.))

For all purposes of the retirement system, the expression "rates of compensation" as used in this section, shall mean "salary attached to the rank" as used in section 166 and, with the addition of **compensation** ((of fifteen dollars per month)) now provided in section 35.5.2 of the charter with respect to members assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty, and section 35.5.3 of the charter with respect to **compensation received for educational or other incentive programs of any type whatsoever, accruing benefits by seniority, or skilled or technical duties**, shall also mean "compensation earnable" as used in section 168.1.1.

The term "police officers or patrolmen" as used in this section shall mean the persons employed in the police departments of said cities of 100,000 population or over or of the City and County of San Francisco, to perform substantially the duties being performed for **like hours of work** on the effective days of this section by police officers, police patrol drivers and **policewomen** ((women protective officers)) in the San Francisco Police Department.

Section 35.5.2. Not later than the fifteenth day of February of each year the civil service commission shall survey, and certify to the board of supervisors, any additional rate of pay paid to members assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty in the respective police department of all cities of 100,000 population or over in the State of California, based upon the latest decennial census.

Not later than the first day of April of each year the board of su-

pervisors shall have power, and it shall be its duty by ordinance to fix the additional rate of pay for the members of the police department who are assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty, at a rate of pay ((not to exceed)) not less than the highest rate of compensation paid to members assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty in the cities included in the certified report of the civil service commission.

Not later than the 1st Monday of August of each year the civil service commission shall survey and certify to the board of supervisors any additional rate of pay to be paid to members assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty on the first day of August of that year in the cities hereinbefore referred to.

The board of supervisors shall thereupon have the power by ordinance to revise the additional rate of pay as in the section provided. Said revised rates shall be effective from the first day of July of the then current fiscal year.

If the board of supervisors revises said additional rate of pay then, it shall, not later than the 25th day of August of the then current fiscal year, have the power, and it shall be its duty, subject to the fiscal provisions of the charter, but without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the annual salary ordinance and the annual appropriation ordinance to include the provisions necessary for paying the additional rate of pay for members assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic duty fixed by the board of supervisors as in this section provided for the then current fiscal year.

Said additional rate of pay shall be in addition to the rate of compensation provided for in Section 35.5.1 of this charter.

In no event shall the additional rate so fixed be less than \$90.00 ((\$15.00)) per month.

Section 35.5.3. Notwithstanding the provisions of section 35.5.1 of any other provisions or limitations of this charter, the board of supervisors shall provide by ordinance compensation for education and other incentive programs of any type whatsoever, accruing benefits by seniority, skilled or technical duties, working benefits and premium pay differentials of any type whatsoever, performed by members of the police department, not specifically provided for in the charter ((the basic rate of compensation for the rank of lieutenant in the police department established under the provisions of Section 35.5.1 for the fiscal year 1962-1963 shall be increased by six percent (6%) and the resulting figure adjusted to the nearest dollar)).

The provisions of this section shall be effective on the first day of the month immediately following the date of ratification ((of this amendment by the State Legislature and the adjusted basic rate of compensation for lieutenant computed as above provided shall be effective on that date and shall be paid for the remainder of the 1962-1963 fiscal year.

For the fiscal year 1963-1964 and subsequent fiscal years the basic rate of compensation for lieutenant shall be fixed in accordance with the provisions of Section 35.5.1 of the charter)).

The board of supervisors shall have the power, and it shall be its duty, without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the annual appropriation ordinance and the annual salary ordinance for the fiscal year 1970-71 ((1962-1963)) and subsequent fiscal years to include the provisions necessary for paying the additional ((adjusted basic)) rate of compensation for members of the

police department as provided in this section ((the rank of lieutenant herein provided)).

Section 35.5.4. Notwithstanding the provisions of section 35.5.1 or of any other provisions of this charter, and effective on the first day of the month immediately following the date of ratification of this amendment by the state legislature, the monthly ((rate of compensation for the rank of assistant inspector in the police department for the balance of the fiscal year 1962-1963 shall be \$697.00.)) rates of compensation for the ranks listed below shall be the product of (a) the multiplier opposite the ranks listed and (b) the rate of compensation for the fourth year of service for police officers, police-women, and police patrol drivers as determined in section 35.5.1 of this charter, adjusted to the nearest dollar:

Rank	Multiplier
Assistant Inspector	1.1
Inspector	1.3
Sergeant	1.3
Lieutenant	1.5
Captain	1.8
Chief	3.1

The above rates of compensation shall apply for the balance of the fiscal year 1970-1971.

For the fiscal year 1971-1972 ((1963-1964)), and subsequent fiscal years, the rates of compensation for the ranks listed above in this section ((assistant inspector)) shall be fixed in accordance with the provisions of section 35.5.1 of the charter.

The board of supervisors shall have the power, and it shall be its duty, without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the annual appropriation ordinance and the annual salary ordinance for the fiscal year 1970-1971 ((1962-1963)) to include the provisions necessary for paying the rates of compensation for the ranks ((of assistant inspector)) herein above provided.

Provided, however, if any member of the department appointed as an assistant inspector is a sergeant at the time of the appointment or is appointed a sergeant thereafter, he shall receive the rate of compensation attached to the rank of sergeant.

The rates of compensation for the other ranks in the police department shall be the product of (a) the rate of compensation for the fourth year of service for police officers, policewomen, and police patrol drivers and (b) multipliers from time to time determined by the police commission, and approved by the Mayor and the board of supervisors, provided that no establishment or change in the multiplier shall produce a rate of compensation lower than that which is being paid for the position at the time of such establishment or change.

Whenever such multipliers are established or changed, the resulting rates of compensation shall be effective on the first day of the month following approval and shall be paid for the balance of the then current fiscal year. Whenever such multipliers are established or changed, the board of supervisors shall have the power, and it shall be its duty, without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the annual appropriation ordinance and the annual salary ordinance for the then current fiscal year to include provisions necessary for paying the basic rates of compensation for such other ranks herein provided.

If during a fiscal year no change is made in such multipliers, the basic rates of compensation for such other ranks for the next and subsequent fiscal years shall be fixed in accordance with the provisions of section 35.5.1 of the charter.

Notwithstanding the provisions of section 168.1.1, or of any other sections of this charter, "final compensation" as defined in section 168.1.1 shall also be interpreted to include that time served by a member in a position, which position is re-classified by this amendment, as time served toward the requirement that a member must hold such rank or position for at least one year immediately prior to retirement or death.

Section 35.8. The board of supervisors shall have the power to appropriate to the police department an amount not to exceed in any one fiscal year the sum of \$50,000 ((\$25,000)) to be known as the contingent fund of the chief of police. The chief of police may from time to time, disburse such sums from such fund as in his judgment shall be for the best interests of the city and county in the investigation and detection of crime, and the police commission shall allow and order paid out of such contingent fund, upon orders signed by the chief of police, such amounts as may be required.

Section 35.8.1. The board of supervisors shall have the power to appropriate to the police department an amount not to exceed in any one fiscal year the sum of \$50,000 ((\$25,000)) to be known as the narcotic fund of the chief of police. The chief of police may from time to time, disburse such sums from such fund as in his judgment shall be for the best interests of the city and county in the enforcement of the narcotic laws, and the police commission shall allow and order paid out of such narcotic fund, upon orders signed by the chief of police, such amounts as may be required.

PROMOTIONS

Section 146. Whenever it deems it to be practicable, the civil service commission shall provide for promotion in the service on the basis of such examinations and tests as the commission may deem appropriate, and shall, in addition, give consideration to ascertained merit and records of city and county service of applicants. The commission shall announce in the examination scope circular the next lower rank or ranks from which the promotion will be made. Except as specifically provided in other sections of this charter, all promotions in the uniform forces of the police and fire departments, respectively, shall be made from the next lower civil service rank attained by examinations, as herein set forth, giving consideration also to meritorious public service and seniority of service and a clean record in the respective departments. All such promotive examinations in the police and fire departments shall be entirely of a written character, and all questions asked or problems given in said examinations shall pertain to matters concerning the duties of members of the department for which the examination is held.

Notwithstanding any other provisions of this charter, such promotional examinations in the police department shall be taken from a bibliography established from time to time by said department. Such examinations shall be held at the request of the department or within 30 days after the expiration of the then existing eligible list. The list of eligibles shall be posted within 90 days following such examination date.

Fifteen per cent of the total credits obtainable under any pro-

motive examination for eligibles for the police or fire department shall be allowed for seniority of service, which said credits shall be distributed as follows:

Examinations for Eligibles for the Police Department

(a) For Promotion to the Rank of Sergeant of Police:

One per cent of the total credits allowed for the entire examination shall be allowed for each year of service in the department until a total of fifteen per cent of the credits of the entire examination is reached;

(b) For Promotion to the Rank of Lieutenant of Police:

Six-tenths of one per cent of the total credits allowed for the entire examination shall be allowed for each year of service in the department until a total of nine per cent of said total credits of the entire examination is reached, and in addition thereto six-tenths of one per cent of the total credits allowed for the entire examination shall be allowed for each year of service in the rank of ((corporal or)) sergeant until a total of six per cent of the credits of the entire examination is reached.

(c) For Promotion to the Rank of Captain of Police:

Forty-five hundredths of one per cent of the total credits allowed for the entire examination shall be allowed for each year of service in the department until a total of nine per cent of said examination is reached, and in addition thereto six-tenths of one per cent of the total credits allowed for the entire examination shall be allowed for each year of service in the rank of lieutenant until a total of six per cent of the credits of the entire examination is reached.

(d) In addition to the foregoing credits for seniority, six per cent of the total credits allowed for said examination shall be allowed for each applicant for a clean record in the department. All members of the department who have performed acts of meritorious public service and have not heretofore received credit for such meritorious public service in a promotional examination and all members of the department who shall perform acts of meritorious public service prior to the effective date of this amendment shall be allowed in addition a maximum for four credits for said examination according to the judgment of the commission. Credits for meritorious public service, in a promotional examination within the police department shall not be allowed by the civil service commission except as herein provided. Any type of temporary or limited tenure appointment to a higher civil service rank shall not allow a member to accumulate seniority credits for promotional examinations.

Examinations for Eligibles for the Fire Department

Fifteen per cent of the total credits allowed for any promotive examination shall be allowed for seniority of service, which said credits shall be distributed as follows:

(e) For promotion to the Rank of Lieutenant in the Fire Department:

One per cent of the total credits allowed for the entire examination shall be allowed for each year of service in the fire department until a maximum of fifteen per cent is reached:

(f) For Promotion to the Rank of Captain in the Fire Department:

Six-tenths of one per cent of the total credits allowed for the entire examination shall be allowed for each year of service in the fire department until a total of nine per cent is reached; and in addi-

tion thereto there shall be allowed six-tenths of one per cent of the total credits allowed for the entire examination for each year of service in the rank of lieutenant until a total of six per cent of the credits of the entire examination is reached.

(g) For Promotion to all Ranks Above Captain in the Fire Department:

Forty-five hundredths of one per cent of the total credits allowed for the entire examination shall be allowed for each year of service in the fire department until a total of nine per cent of said credits is reached, and in addition thereto there shall be allowed six-tenths of one per cent of the total credits allowed for the entire examination for each year of service as an officer in the rank held by the applicant at the time of the examination, until a total of six per cent of the credits of the entire examination is reached.

(h) In addition to the foregoing credits for seniority six per cent of the total credits allowed for said examinations shall be allowed to each applicant for a clean record in the department.

(i) In promotional examinations in the police and fire departments, seniority of service and a clean record in the respective departments shall be added to the credit obtained by the applicant in the written portion of said examination, and shall be taken into consideration by the commission in determining his passing mark and his place upon the list of eligibles.

(j) In computing the credits for service in both the police department and the fire department, fractional parts of the year shall not be considered.

The provisions of this section shall become effective on the first day of the month immediately following the date of ratification of this amendment by the state legislature.

((Section 36.3. The rate of compensation fixed pursuant to the provisions of section 35.5.1 of the charter for police officers, police patrol drivers and women protective officers for the fourth year of service and thereafter and the rate of compensation fixed pursuant to the provisions of section 36.2 of the charter for firemen for the fourth year of service and thereafter shall be the same. Such rate shall not exceed the highest rate of compensation paid, whether it be paid to police officers, patrolmen or firemen, in the cities included in the certified report of the civil service commission submitted to the board of supervisors pursuant to the provisions of the aforesaid sections of the charter.

On ratification of this section, the board of supervisors shall have power and it shall be its duty to adjust by ordinance the rate of compensation fixed for firemen for the fourth year of service and thereafter for the fiscal year 1963-1964 so that it shall be the same rate of compensation as that fixed for police officers, police patrol drivers and women protective officers for the fourth year of service and thereafter for the said fiscal year. Such ordinance shall adjust the rates of compensation for other members of the fire department for the said fiscal year by corresponding percentage adjustments in the manner provided by section 36.2 of the charter.

The board shall have power and it shall be its duty, without reference or amendment to the annual budget, to amend the annual appropriation ordinance and the annual salary ordinance for the fiscal year 1963-1964 to include the provisions necessary for paying said rates of compensation from July 1, 1963.

For all purposes of the retirement system, the expression "rates of compensation" as used in this section shall mean "salary attached to the rank" as used in section 169, and "compensation earnable" as used in section 171.1.1.

For all purposes of the retirement system, the first adjustment of rates of compensation made by the board of supervisors after the effective date of this section shall be the rates of compensation for the members affected for the remainder of the fiscal year 1963-1964 following the month in which the ordinance making such adjustment becomes effective, and the rates of compensation set forth in the annual salary ordinance at the beginning of the fiscal year 1963-1964 shall be the rates of compensation for said retirement purposes for the period from the beginning of the said fiscal year through said month.

The provisions of this section shall only apply to persons who are members of the fire department and the police department on or after the effective date of this section.))

Ordered submitted: Board of Supervisors, San Francisco, April 13, 1970.

Ayes: Supervisors Barbagelata, Boas, Ertola, Feinstein, Francois, Gonzales, Mailliard, Mendelsohn, Pelosi, Tamaras, von Beroldingen.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Charter amendment was ordered submitted by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

ROBERT J. DOLAN, Clerk

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "I"

Vote Yes For Proposition "I"

The Peace Officers Research Association of California urges all San Franciscans to support this measure. This charter amendment will allow your chief and police administrators to reorganize your police department for better supervision in the street and will put more policemen in the street where they are vitally needed in their effort to curb crime.

Vote Yes For Proposition "I"

A Yes vote will help your police department combat the ever increasing traffic in narcotics.

Vote Yes For Proposition "I"

In the highly competitive field of recruitment conditions must be brought to a level to attract and keep top quality men. A Yes vote will do this for your protection.

Vote Yes For Proposition "I"

Crime is the prime concern of all people of our state and cities. All law enforcement agencies throughout our state and particularly your city must be provided assistance so that officers may do their job with the highest possible efficiency.

Vote Yes For Proposition "I"

Revitalize your department. Reorganize your department. Help your department fight the crime on the street. Put more policemen on the street, in radio cars and on patrol where they are most needed for your protection, for the protection of your loved ones and yourselves and property.

KEN JOSEPH, President
POLICE OFFICERS RESEARCH ASSOCIATION
OF CALIFORNIA

ARGUMENT FOR PROPOSITION "I"

Vote Yes For Proposition I (Eye)

Mr. and Mrs. Voter:

Proposition I (Eye) will give San Francisco more police on the streets and more police patrols in our residential areas.

Your vote for Proposition I (Eye) will bring tighter narcotics control. It will speed the reorganization of the San Francisco Police Department.

Your vote for Proposition I (Eye) will permit the Police Department to recruit higher educated men to bring the force up to full strength.

Your vote for Proposition I (Eye) will keep good men on the Police force. Promotions will be speeded up and educational incentives will be offered.

Proposition I (Eye) is a joint effort by the Police Commission, the Chief of Police and the Police Officers Association.

Your vote for Proposition I (Eye) will make San Francisco a safer place to live, a safer place to work and a better place to raise your family.

The cost of operating the Police Department will, of course, be a little more than it is today; but it is worth the price to protect our women and our children and ourselves on San Francisco streets.

Vote Yes For Proposition I (Eye)

Hon. Joseph L. Alioto, Mayor

Hon. Elmo E. Ferrari, President of Police Commission

Hon. Washington E. Garner, Police Commission

Hon. Richard K. Miller, Police Commission

Alfred J. Nelder, Chief of Police

San Francisco Police Officers' Association

Greater San Francisco Chamber of Commerce

Brotherhood of Teamsters, Local 85

Downtown Association

District Council of Painters, Local 8

Police Officers Research Association of California

Sailors Union of the Pacific

International Conference of Police Associations

Machinist Lodge AFL-CIO, Local 68

San Francisco Council of District Merchants Association

Plumbing and Pipe Fitting Industry Union Local 38

Fillmore Merchants and Improvement Association

Newspaper and Periodical Drivers and Helpers Union, Local 921

International Footprinters Association

Retail Delivery Drivers, Driver Salesmen and Helpers Union, Local 278

Jitney Mini Bus Drivers Association

San Francisco American Legion Post 456

Cooks Union, Local 44

Fisherman's Wharf Merchants Association

Marine Cooks and Stewards AFL

San Francisco Veterans Police Association

Chauffeurs Union, Local 265

Garage and Service Station Employees Union, Local 38

The West of Twin Peaks Central Council of Improvement Clubs composed of:

Balboa Terrace Homes Association

Forest Knolls Improvement Club

Lakeshore Park Improvement Club

Lakeshore Property Owners Association

Miraloma Park Improvement Club

St. Francis Homes Association

Westwood Highlands Association

Forest Hill Association

Ingleside Terraces Homes Association

Lakeside Acres Home Owners Association
 Merced Manor Property Owners Association
 Monterey Heights Homes Association
 Westwood Park Association
 West Portal Home Owners Association
 Sunset and Parkside Businessmen and Women's Political Association
 Operating Engineers Local 3

Harry Aleo
 Hon. Carl H. Allen
 Bernard Averbuch
 Wady F. Ayooob
 Hon. John J. Barbagelata
 Lee Bart
 Harold Baruh
 William C. Blake
 Peter G. Boudoures
 Thomas J. Cahill
 Matthew Carberry
 George Y. Chinn
 George T. Choppelas
 George Christopher
 William P. Clecak
 Al Clem
 William E. Dauer
 Joseph J. Diviny
 Harold S. Dobbs
 Harry Edgecomb
 'Jake' Ehrlich
 George Evankovich
 Adrien Falk
 Hon. Diane Feinstein
 Hon. John Jay Ferdon
 Eugene Friend
 Mike Fusaro
 Gus Gelardi
 George Gillin
 Houston Gipson
 Jack Goldberger
 Hon. Robert E. Gonzales

Rev. Henry G. Green
 Hap Hazard
 Mendel Hercowitz
 Robert Jacobs
 Hon. Joseph P. Kennedy
 George Killion
 Lew Lehr
 Louis R. Lurie
 J. W. Mailliard III
 Hon. George Maloney
 Joseph P. Mazzola
 Hon. Robert H. Mendelsohn
 Charles W. Meyers
 William Moskovitz
 Matthew O'Connor
 Hon. Ronald Pelosi
 Albert Pollock
 Boyd Puccinelli
 Charles Puccinelli
 Hon. George R. Reilly
 Tim Richardson
 Angelo Rolando
 Mike Salarno
 Herbert H. Salinger
 Mary Jane Scharff
 Samuel B. Stewart
 Elen Stiegeler
 Walter J. Vervais
 Hon. Dorothy von Beroldingen
 Morris Weisberger
 Rev. Waldo Williams

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION "I"

Please Read This Carefully . . .

It Means Saved Tax Dollars to You . . .

More Important, It Means Your Personal Safety . . .

Dear Friend:

The passage of Proposition "I" will polarize policemen, Young and Old, Black and White, as well as Firemen.

Vote No On Proposition "I" When You Vote On June 2

Here are the cold facts about Proposition "I"—facts which cannot be swept under the rug by a minority of special interests. This is the same group that recently opposed a prior Proposition with, "We Want To Save The Tax Payer's Money," but now wish to spend millions.

Vote No On "I". Police have just been given a 12 per cent salary increase costing \$3 million. Taxes are at a record high—do you want the rate to go higher? Remember, city employees recently received an increase in pay that will cost the tax-paying citizens of our lovely city.

Proposition "I" also imposes a type of bureaucratic civil service structure on the men in the Police Department which will thereby foster, in the future, the impossibility of promotion and recognition

of the best qualified and most able men to serve and protect our women and children.

Vote No on Proposition "I" and save your dollars, your business and—maybe your life.

Sincerely,

OFFICERS FOR JUSTICE PEACE OFFICERS
ASSOCIATION

By Sgt. HENRY WILLIAMS, President

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION "I"

Vote No on "I"—Stop This Discrimination Against Unions!

Proposition "I" takes away the Fire Fighters right to equal pay with the police. It could even result in a cut in pay for the Fire Fighters!

The Fire Fighters No. 798 is an affiliate of the San Francisco Labor Council. The unaffiliated Police Association unilaterally introduced a provision in Proposition "I" that would destroy and eliminate the parity existing between the services.

With fires increasing in number daily in San Francisco this is no time to whittle away at the standards of the San Francisco Fire Fighters. The San Francisco Fire Fighters are among the best in the nation for quality and performance. They have rendered an outstanding job in maintaining the safety of San Francisco citizens in a city where fire hazards are unique and unusually high. This is why we pay low fire insurance rates.

Proposition "I" takes away a right of the Fire Fighters given to them by popular vote seven years ago; a right they have had for nearly half a century. San Francisco citizens do not deserve to have their loyal and conscientious Fire Fighters discriminated against like this!

Vote No on Proposition "I", Keep Fire Fighters Rights Intact.

Sincerely,

GEORGE W. JOHNS, Secretary
SAN FRANCISCO LABOR COUNCIL

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION "I"

Vote No On "I" — Don't Undercut Your Fire Fighters!

"Five new S. F. Arson Fires in One Night! 24 Arson Fires here in 4 days!" (Headlines: April 4th Examiner)

Let's face it, fires are on the increase! Last year over 2,200 more fires than five years ago.

Is this a time to tamper with your Fire Fighters' wages? At a time when you need to maintain the high quality and top standards set by your Fire Department? Proposition "I" would do away with the equal pay now given to both Fire Fighters and Police. A standard which you, the people, mandated in popular referendum!

Proposition "I" could reduce wages for Fire Fighters. The Fire Department would lose many of its best men who could no longer afford to support their families on lowered income. Not to mention the problem of getting qualified men to maintain an adequate force. All this at a time when it is vital to your safety to maintain highest standards!

Should standards be lowered in San Francisco, a city whose exceptional fire hazards have been kept under control by a Fire De-

partment which has been cited as one of the best in the Nation for efficiency and high standards?

And, remember, Fire Fighting is the second most hazardous occupation in the Nation, second only to mining, according to National Casualty statistics.

Proposition "I" is playing with fire!

Vote No! Support Your Fire Fighters!

SAN FRANCISCO FIRE FIGHTERS LOCAL 798
INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF FIRE FIGHTERS
FRANK MINAHAN, President
ROBERT F. CALLAHAN, Secretary

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION "I"

Vote No On "I" — Hold The Line On Unlimited Spending!

Proposition "I" opens the door to unlimited increased costs and taxes. It locks in an hour-and-a-half overtime pay and a 10% differential for night work for the police, while other city employees get only 6¼% differential for night work!

Proposition "I" would cost the taxpayer \$3,008,000 a year or 13 extra cents in your tax dollar. Taxes are at a record high. Do you want them to go even higher? In addition, previous ceilings have been eliminated. You will be subjected to further pressure for more money!

Proposition "I" restricts appointments to important positions in the Police Department in a way that could discriminate against the most competent men. As the San Francisco Chronicle pointed out in an editorial on March 17th:

"This is an intolerable restriction to saddle upon any appointment that demands the best talent and experience available."

Vote No On "I". Defeat This Dangerously Unsound Proposition!

Sincerely,

SENIOR CITIZENS ON FIXED INCOMES

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION "I"

Proposition "I" is essentially the same as Proposition D which the San Francisco Police Officers Association vigorously opposed in the printed arguments for last November 4, Municipal Elections. Proposition "I" differs from last Election's Proposition D in that the Working Benefits Premium Pay Differentials are mandatory rather than by ordinance, and the Fire Department has been excluded completely.

How come the Police have changed their minds from their ballot argument last fall which was printed in the Propositions and Arguments for the November 4, 1969 elections, and which read as follows:

"Please Read This Carefully . . .

"It Means Saved Tax Dollars to You . . .

"More Important, it Means Your Personal Safety . . .

"Dear Friend:

"Keep Politics out of the San Francisco Police Department

"Keep your Taxes Down

"Vote No On Proposition D When you Vote on November 4.

"Here are the cold facts about Proposition D—facts which cannot be swept under the rug by the politicians.

"City Hall politicians thought they had a great idea—for them and their favorites—a bad idea for you and the police.

"The politicians drafted Proposition D, rammed it through the Board of Supervisors and put it on the November 4 ballot.

"Proposition D will cost you wasted tax dollars by upping the salaries of a chosen few favored by the politicians.

"Keep your Taxes Down

"Proposition D will create new positions and higher salaries for those at the top, not the policemen who fight crime in the streets, who protect your homes and places of business—the men you call when you need help.

"Proposition D will perpetuate the spoils system thus hurting the morale of the policemen—the officer on the sidewalk, in the patrol car, on the motorcycle, on horseback,—the men on whom you depend for your safety.

"Proposition D will not put more policemen on the street for your protection.

"Keep your Taxes Down

"We must put an end to the spoils system which enables City Hall politicians to take care of their favored few by raising your taxes.

"We want Civil Service promotions—promotions based on merit—not on political influence.

"Keep your Taxes Down

"Vote No on Proposition D and save your dollars, your business—and maybe your life.

"Sincerely,
SAN FRANCISCO POLICE OFFICERS
ASSOCIATION
By HARRY BELL, President"

Were those crocodile tears they wept for the taxpayers?
What's the catch in this one?
Vote No On "I"

MARGARET ANN KENNY
An Independent Citizen

**CONTROLLER'S STATEMENT PURSUANT TO
CHARTER SECTION 183
PROPOSITION "I"**

Amends Sections 35, 35.4, 35.5, 35.5½, 35.5.1, 35.5.2, 35.5.3, 35.5.4, 35.8, 35.8.1 and 146, relating to organization and operation of Police Department, and working conditions of the members thereof; repeals Section 36.3, relating to parity pay in Police and Fire Departments.

Should the proposed charter amendment be adopted, in my opinion, the annual increase in the cost of government of the city and county would be approximately \$3,008,969, which, based on the 1969-1970 assessment roll, is equivalent to thirteen and thirty-six hundredths (13.36) cents in the tax rate.

The proposed amendment to Section 35.5½ (b) provides the basic work week shall be not more than forty hours. The proposed amendment to Section 35 states that the annual compensation of the police commissioners shall be as determined by the Board of Supervisors. Proposed amendments to Sections 35.5.1 and 35.5.3 provides

that other working benefits and compensation for education and other incentive programs shall be provided by ordinance of the Board of Supervisors. The proposed amendment to Section 35.5.1 (a) states that the rate of compensation shall be not less than the highest rate of compensation paid police officers or patrolmen in regular service in the cities included in the certified report of the civil service commission. Section 35.5.2, as proposed, provides that the Board of Supervisors shall, by ordinance, fix the additional rate of pay for personnel assigned to two-wheel motorcycle traffic at a rate of pay not less than the highest rate of compensation paid to members assigned to two-wheel traffic duty in cities included in the certified report of the civil service commission and it shall be not less than \$90.00 per month. These provisions in themselves, do not create any additional costs, but as a product of their applications to future legislation, additional costs may be incurred which are not determinable at this time.

Repeal of Section 36.3 takes away the parity pay clause which provided that firemen shall receive the same rate of compensation as police officers. The effect of this proposal is also not determinable at this time.

NATHAN B. COOPER, Controller
City and County of San Francisco

PHILLIPS & VAN ORDEN COMPANY



18-6 SAMPLE BALLOT

*Elections 1970
San Francisco*

*California
Register of Voters*

*VF Ready Ref
1970
c2*
Vote Early

**POLLS OPEN FROM
7 A.M. to 8 P.M.**



**Lines A and B
Democratic
Party
Ballot**

**DOCUMENTS
MAY 7 - 1970**

**SAN FRANCISCO
PUBLIC LIBRARY**

A


B


*then
3/5F*

**The Voting Machine Will
Record Votes ONLY Where
The Pointers Are Left DOWN.**




Elections
**DIRECTIC:
FOR VOTI:**




 **STATE
PROPOSITION**
FOR 1 AGAINST


**BONDS TO PROVIDE UNIVER-
SITY OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH
SCIENCE FACILITIES. (This act
provides for a bond issue of two
hundred forty-six million three hun-
dred thousand dollars (\$246,300,-
000).)**

 **STATE
PROPOSITION**
YES 2 NO

**PARTIAL CONSTITUTIONAL RE-
VISION. Repeals, amends, revises,
and rennumbers various provisions of
Constitution relating to local gov-
ernment.**

 **STATE
PROPOSITION**
YES 3 NO

**PARTIAL CONSTITUTIONAL RE-
VISION. Revises provisions relating
to public utilities, corporations, and
water use. Rennumbers provisions re-
lating to State credit and stock
ownership.**

 **STATE
PROPOSIT**
YES 4

**PARTIAL CONSTITU-
TION. Deletes r...
to state institutions
and relating to land,
exemption. Rennumbers
lating to convict labor**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

GOVERNOR

(VOTE FOR ONE)

**LIEUTENANT
GOVERNOR**

(VOTE FOR ONE)

SECRETARY OF S

(VOTE FOR ONE)



1-A

**Jess
Unruh**
California
State
Legislator
Democratic



2-A

**George H.
Wagner**
Construction
Truck
Driver
Democratic



3-A

**Samuel
William
Yorty**
Mayor of
Los Angeles
Democratic



4-A

**Walter R.
(Buck)
Buchanan**
Educator
Democratic



5-A

**Jack W.
Clapper**
Psychiatrist
Medical
Doctor
Democratic



6-A

**Robert L.
Coate**
Economic
Advisor
Democratic



7-A

**Cecilia A.
Pedroza**
Consumer
Protection
Expert
Democratic



8-A

**Jimmy
Campbell**
Businessman
Democratic



9-A

**Edmund G.
Brown, Jr.**
Attorney
at Law
Democratic



10-A

**Hugh M.
Burns**
State
Senator
Democrati



1-B

**Florence E.
Douglas**
Mayor of
Vallejo
Democratic



2-B

**Raymond L.
Haight**
Teacher
Democratic



3-B

**Harry F.
May**
Machinist
Democratic



4-B

**Eddie M.
Ramirez**
Pharmacy
Business
Executive
Democratic



5-B

**Joseph S.
Ramos**
Democratic



6-B

**Robert A.
Wenke**
Judge of the
Superior
Court
Democratic



7-B

**Alfred E.
Alquist**
California
State
Senator
Democratic


Voter 5

DIRECT PRIMARY E

1st. **MOVE RED HANDLE LEVER** of **VOTING MACHINE** to the **RIGHT** as far as it will go and **LEAVE IT THERE.**

2nd. **TO VOTE FOR CANDIDATES** of your choice, pull down the **POINTERS** over the names of the **CANDIDATES** for whom you wish to **VOTE** and **LEAVE THEM DOWN.**

3rd. **TO VOTE** for a person whose n
numbered slide at top of machin
CARD, and write name of candid
name of any candidate in office gr



NO		STATE PROPOSITION 5	NO	STATE PROPOSITION 6	NO	STATE PROPOSITION 7	NO	STATE PROPOSITION 8	NO	CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION A	YES	NO
PARTIAL CONSTITUTIONAL REVISION. Legislature may revise its proposed constitutional changes. Exempts employees of Lieutenant Governor and one employee of Public Utilities Commission from civil service.				STATE AND COUNTY BOARDS OF EDUCATION: TEXT BOOKS. Legislature shall provide for appointment or election of State Board of Education and county boards. State Board shall adopt text books to be furnished free.		INTEREST RATE ON STATE BONDS. If authorized bonds are offered and not sold Legislature may raise maximum rate of interest. Ratifies legislation increasing interest on bonds to 7% and eliminating maximum rate on bond anticipation notes.		TAXATION FOR SCHOOLS AND SOCIAL WELFARE. State shall provide costs of at least 50% for schools, and 90% for social welfare, and costs for new county services. Increases homeowners' exemption to \$1,000. Estimated State cost \$1,130,000,000 for 1970-1971, increasing thereafter.		PUBLIC SCHOOL BONDS, 1970. To incur indebtedness of \$45,000,000 for improvement of the City and County of San Francisco, including reconstruction, replacement and improvement of school buildings.		

11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
ATE	CONTROLLER		TREASURER		ATTORNEY GENERAL		Member, State Board of Equalization (1ST DISTRICT)		UNITED STATES SENATOR			
	(VOTE FOR ONE)		(VOTE FOR ONE)		(VOTE FOR ONE)		(VOTE FOR ONE)		(VOTE FOR ONE)			

12-A	13-A	14-A	15-A	16-A	17-A	18-A	20-A	21-A	22-A	23-A
Herman Sillas Attorney Democratic	Ronald Brooks Cameron Certified Public Accountant Democratic	Milton G. Gordon Administrative Officer-Treasurer Democratic	Joe Reichmann Attorney Democratic	Charles A. O'Brien Deputy Attorney General Democratic	Walter Culpepper Attorney Democratic	George R. Reilly Incumbent Democratic	Louis Di Salvo Realtor Democratic	Kenneth Hahn Supervisor, County of Los Angeles Democratic	Leonard Kurland Doctor of Medicine Democratic	John V. Tunney United States Congressman Democratic


12-B	14-B	15-B	20-B	21-B	22-B
John R. Dean Attorney at Law Democratic	Thomas C. Rupert City Treasurer Democratic	Gregory John Gean Democratic	Eileen Anderson Legal Specialist Democratic	Arthur S. Bell, Jr. Democratic	George E. Brown, Jr. United States Congressman Democratic











ELECTION JUNE 2, 1970

does not appear on the **BALLOT LABEL CARD**, raise corresponding to number of office on **OFFICE TITLE** on paper under slide. (Do not pull down pointer over cup in which you intend to write in name of a candidate.)

4th. TO VOTE FOR OR AGAINST PROPOSITIONS, pull **DOWN** pointers over the words "Yes" or "No", as you may desire to vote, and **LEAVE THEM DOWN**.

5th. LEAVING THE POINTERS DOWN as you have placed them, move the **RED HANDLE**



																	
CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION			CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION			CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION			CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION								
NO	YES	B	NO	YES	C	NO	YES	D	NO	YES	E	NO	YES	F			
BUILDING a bonded 0 for the ic schools of San to ement, al- of exist-			STREET LIGHTING IMPROVE- MENT BONDS, 1970. To incur a bonded indebtedness of \$5,000,000 for improvement of street lighting in the City and County of San Francisco.			FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENT BONDS, 1970. To incur a bonded indebtedness of \$5,767,000 for additions to and im- provements of the fire protection systems in the City and County of San Francisco.			Amends Sections 93, 121, 122 and 125; adds Sections 137, 137.1 through 137.8 inclusive, 138, 138.1, 139, 139.1 and 139.2, relating to the creation of an Airports Commission.			Amends Sections 36, 36.1, 36.1½ and 36.2, relating to administration and working conditions applicable to members of the Fire Department.			Amends Section 104, r- bonded debt limit of the C County of San Francisco.		

24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37
representative Congress District te for One)	STATE SENATOR (10th Senatorial District) (Vote for One)		MEMBER OF THE ASSEMBLY (18th Assembly District) (Vote for One)		MEMBERS OF COUNTY CENTRAL COMMITTEE (18TH ASSEMBLY DISTRICT) (VOTE FOR SIX)								

24-A	25-A	26-A	27-A	28-A	29-A	30-A	31-A	32-A	33-A	34-A	35-A	36-A	37-A
Russell R. Miller Democratic	George R. Moscone State Senator Democratic	Thomas L. Scholten Businessman Democratic	Willie L. Brown, Jr. Assemblyman, 18th District California Legislature Democratic	Stan Butler Businessman Democratic	Bert Donlin Longshore- man	Arthur Gradwohl Regional Editor	John E. Dearman Incumbent	Samuel Wright Incumbent	Frank J. Reilly Incumbent	Hiram E. Smith Incumbent	Ella Hill Hutch Incumbent	Dave R. Pacheco Public Relations Counsel	Max Rafferty State Superintend ent of Pul Instructi





24-B	27-B
Zaide Kirtley Attorney Democratic	Francisco J. DeOsuna Businessman Democratic

DEMOCRATIC BALLOT

LEVER of the **VOTING MACHINE** to the **LEFT** as far as it will go and you have voted and your vote is registered.

IF IN DOUBT AS TO OPERATING THE VOTING MACHINE, REQUEST INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE INSPECTOR OR JUDGE OF THE ELECTION BOARD BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO VOTE.



 CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION	 CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION	 CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION	 CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITION
YES G NO	YES H NO	YES I NO	
to Add Section 156.3, relating to and method of fixing salaries of disability transferees.	DECLARATION OF POLICY: Shall the San Francisco Unified School District compel elementary school children (kindergarten through sixth grades) to be bused or reassigned to schools out of their immediate neighborhoods without parental consent?	Amends Sections 35, 35.4, 35.5, 35.5½, 35.5.1, 35.5.2, 35.5.3, 35.5.4, 35.8, 35.8.1 and 146, relating to organization and operation of Police Department, and working conditions of the members thereof; repeals Section 36.3, relating to parity pay in Police and Fire Departments.	

STATE PROPOSITIONS AND CITY AND COUNTY PROPOSITIONS



SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION














(VOTE FOR ONE)

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Office No. 2
(Vote for One)

JUDGE OF THE MUNICIPAL COURT

Office No. 4
(Vote for One)

 38-A Saxon C. (Sax) Elliot Educational Administrator	 39-A Olive Fallon Educator	 40-A Willard Harper Teacher of Government	 41-A Harvey Hurtt Educator	 42-A Julian Nava Member—Los Angeles Board of Education	 43-A Wilson Riles Deputy State Superintendent	 44-A Sylvia Tucker Educator	 45-A Dwayne T. Canon Educator	 46-A Janet Aitken Municipal Court Judge	 47-A John A. Ertola Attorney-at-law	 48-A Edward L. Cragen Attorney at Law	 49-A Terry A. Francois Attorney at Law	 50-A Agnes O'Brien Smith Deputy City Attorney
---	---	--	---	---	---	--	--	--	--	--	---	--